



journal homepage: www.umsha.ac.ir/jrhs



Brief Report

The Association of the Transitions in Smoking Stages with Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking in the Classes and Schools: A Longitudinal Study

Asghar Mohammadpoorasl (PhD)^{a*}, Saharnaz Nedjat (MD,PhD)^b, Ali Fakhari (MD)^c, Akbar Fotouhi (MD,PhD)^d

^a Department Human Nutrition and Food Safety, School of Public Health, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

^b Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Knowledge Utilization Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^c Clinical Psychiatry Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

^d Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: 01 January 2014 Revised: 20 February 2014 Accepted: 04 March 2014 Available online: 09 March 2014

Keywords:

Smoking stages Smoking uptake Longitudinal study

* Correspondence

Asghar Mohammadpoorasl (PhD) Tel: +98 914 3025620 Fax: +98 281 2237269 E-mail: poorasl@yahoo.com **Background:** Adolescent tobacco use remains a major public health priority to reduce the prevalence of tobacco use in community. The aim of this study was determining the association of the transitions in smoking stages with prevalence of cigarette smoking in the classes and schools in adolescents of Tabriz City (northwest of Iran).

Methods: Fifty-six high schools were randomly selected, and 4903 students completed a self-administered questionnaire on cigarette smoking twice with a 12-months interval in 2010 and 2011.

Results: Transition from experimenter to regular smoker stage was associated with the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the school.

Conclusions: The results have shown the association of student's smoking uptake with the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the school. This highlights the importance of enforcing smoke free policies in schools.

Citation: Mohammadpoorasl A, Nedjat S, Fakhari A, Fotouhi A. The Association of the Transitions in Smoking Stages with Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking in the Classes and Schools: A Longitudinal Study. J Res Health Sci. 2014;14(3): 233-235.

Introduction

dolescent tobacco use remains a major public health priority in society. Evidence suggests that environmental influences, particularly social influences, are related to youth smoking behavior¹. School and community characteristics account for variation in smoking levels across schools. Lovato et al² showed that students who attended a school with a focus on tobacco prevention and stronger policies prohibiting tobacco use were less likely to smoke than students who attended a school without these characteristics. A student was more likely to smoke if a greater number of students smoked on the school periphery. Besides, Wakefield et al³ showed that school smoking bans were related to a greater likelihood of being in an earlier stage of smoking uptake.

There has been no study on how the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the class and school might influence student cigarette smoking stages. This report is a part of a large study on smoking stages in adolescents that presents the association of prevalence of cigarette smoking in the classes and schools with transitions in three smoking stages. This can be useful to develop an effective strategy to prevent adolescents smoking.

Methods

The sampling method of the present study was multistage random proportional cluster sampling. Fifty-six high schools were randomly selected by considering type of schools and gender of students and 196 classes (82 and 114 boys and girls classes respectively) were randomly selected by considering the major and total number of students in each school. All students of these classes were entered into the study as clusters. The students were assessed at 2 time points with a 12-months interval in 2010 and 2011. A selfadministered questionnaire included questions about smoking status was used for data collection.

Students were classified in three stages of cigarette smoking continuum according to the Kaplan et al.⁴ as follows: (a) Never Smoker: adolescents who have never smoked (even a puff); (b) Experimenter: adolescents who have tried the cigarette (even a puff), but have smoked less than 100 cigarettes in lifetime; and (c) Regular smoker: adolescents who have smoked 100 cigarettes and more in lifetime, without considering their present consumption. Any transitions from never smoker to regular smoker were considered. More details about study design, sampling method

and questionnaire were presented elsewhere⁵. Inter model of multiple linear regression was used by SPSS-16 in the statistical analysis.

Results

Out of 5106 sampled students, 4903 (96.1%) participated in the study. From those who did not complete the questionnaire, 196 (3.7%) were absent and 7 (0.2%) did not participate in the study. After one year follow-up, 843 (17.3%) students dropped out of study. The reasons for dropping out were absence on the day of data collection (43.2%), school change (41.5%), dropout from school (9.4%) and unknown (5.9%). The mean age of the students was 15.69 ± 0.73 years old (Range: 14 to 19). Prevalence of cigarette smoking in the classes and schools and transitions in smoking stages were computed as percentage. In total, 17.3% of the participants were experimenters (95% CI: 15.8, 18.9) and 5.0% were regular smokers (95% CI: 3.7, 6.2).

The association of the transitions in smoking stages with prevalence of cigarette smoking in the classes and schools were presented in Table 1. Accordingly, transition from experimenter to regular smoker stage was significantly associated with the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the schools (β =0.220, 95% CI: 0.133, 0.307, *P*<0.001). The relation of the transition from Experimenter to Regular smoker stages with prevalence of cigarette smoking in the school is shown in Figure 1.

Table 1: Relationship between the transition in smoking stages and prevalence of cigarette smoking in the class and school (196 classes and 56 schools)

Transitions	β	95%CI	P value
Prevalence of cigarette smoking in the class			
Transition from Never smoker to Experimenter	-0.064	-0.192, 0.064	0.325
Transition from Never smoker to Regular smoker	-0.017	-0.081, 0.047	0.598
Transition from Experimenter to Experimenter	0.061	-0.009, 0.132	0.089
Prevalence of cigarette smoking in the school			
Transition from Never smoker to Experimenter	-0.148	-0.302, 0.006	0.059
Transition from Never smoker to Regular smoker	0.086	-0.008, 0.180	0.073
Transition from Experimenter to Regular smoker	0.220	0.133, 0.307	0.001

β: Regression coefficients adjusted for sex, educational major and type of school





Discussion

The results of present study showed that the transition in smoking stages was not associated with prevalence of cigarette smoking in the class. But transition from experimenter to regular smoker stage was significantly associated with the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the schools. Reid et al.⁶ showed that seeing smoking at school and school smoking rate were related to adolescents' estimation of peer smoking prevalence. On the other hand, researchers have demonstrated a relationship between adolescents smoking behavior and perceptions of peer smoking prevalence^{1,7}. Furthermore, adolescent tend to overestimate the prevalence of smoking⁸. This causes concern that beliefs about social norms for smoking are related to youth smoking behavior, and perceived norms are actually more influential than actual smoking rates for predicting youth smoking⁹.

Despite longitudinal nature of study and using quite satisfactory methodology and sampling method, generalization of the study results is limited due to the study itself being limited to 10th grade students of Tabriz City. Another limitation of the present study was adjustment done only for sex, educational major and type of school, while other confounders could affect the results.

Conclusions

The results have shown the association of student's smoking uptake with the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the school. This highlights the importance of enforcing smoke free policies in schools.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Tabriz University of Medical Sciences for financial support of this study.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests.

References

- 1. Tyas SL, Pederson LL. Psychosocial factors related to adolescent smoking: a critical review of the literature. *Tob Control.* 1998;7:409-420.
- Lovato CY, Zeisser C, Campbell HS, Watts AW, Halpin P, Thompson M, et al. Adolescent smoking: effect of school and community characteristics. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;39:507-514.
- **3.** Wakefield MA, Chaloupka FJ, Kaufman NJ, Orleans CT, Barker DC, Ruel EE. Effect of restrictions on smoking at home,

at school, and in public places on teenage smoking: cross sectional study. *BMJ*. 2000;321:333-337.

- Kaplan CP, Napoles-Springer A, Stewart SL, Pérez-Stablea EJ. Smoking acquisition among adolescents and young Latinas: the role of socioenvironmental and personal factors. *Addict Behav.* 2001;26:531-550.
- 5. Mohammadpoorasl A, Nedjat S, Fakhari A, Yazdani K, Rahimi Foroushani A, Fotouhi A. Smoking stages in an Iranian adolescent population. *Acta Med Iran.* 2012;50:746-754.
- 6. Reid JL, Manske SR, Leatherdale ST. Factors related to adolescents' estimation of peer smoking prevalence. *Health Educ Res.* 2008;23:81-93.
- Iannotti RJ, Bush PJ, Weinfurt KP. Perception of friends' use of alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana among urban schoolchildren: a longitudinal analysis. *Addict Behav.* 1996;21:615-632.
- **8.** Unger JB, Rohrbach LA. Why do adolescents overestimate their peers smoking prevalence? Correlates of prevalence estimates among California 8th-grade students. *Journal of Youth and Adolescent*. 2002;31:147-153.
- Ellickson PL, Bird CE, Orlando M, Klein DJ, Mccaffrey DF. Social context and adolescent health behavior: does schoollevel smoking prevalence affect students' subsequent smoking behavior? *J Health Soc Behav.* 2003;44,525-535.