

JRHS Journal of Research in Health Sciences journal homepage: www.umsha.ac.ir/jrhs



Original Article

Check for updates

Association between Musculoskeletal Pain and Bone Turnover Markers in Long-Term Pb-Exposed Workers

Ravibabu Kalahasthi (PhD)^{1*}, Bhavani Shankara Bagepally (PhD)², Tapu Barman (M Pharm)³

¹ Department of Biochemistry, Regional Occupational Health Centre (Southern), Indian Council of Medical Research, ICMR Complex, Karnataka, India

² Department of NCD, National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, India

³ Department of Bacteriology, National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, Beleghata, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: 17 November 2020 Revised: 02 June 2021 Accepted: 27 June 2021 Available online: 06 July 2021

doi: 10.34172/jrhs.2021.55

Keywords: Musculoskeletal pain Bone remodeling Bone formation Bone resorption Lead Blood Occupational exposure

* Correspondence: Kalahasthi Ravibabu (PhD)

Tel: +91 80 22172500

E-mail: kalahasthi20012002@yahoo.co.in

Background: On chronic exposure, Lead (Pb) deposits in the skeletal system, replaces calcium ions, and alters the normal physiological processes, which in turn, lead to stunting, delayed fracture healing, and high resorption of collagen molecules. The present study aimed to assess the association of musculoskeletal pain and discomfort with bone turnover markers (BTMs) among long-term Pb-exposed workers.

Study design: A cross-sectional study.

Methods: The study recruited 176 male Pb-exposed workers and 80 control subjects who were matched for age, gender, and socio-economic status. Blood lead levels (BLLs), bone growth markers, such as serum osteocalcin (OC), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), and bone resorption markers: serum pyridinoline (Pry), deoxypyridinoline (DPry), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b(TRACP-5b), and hydroxyproline in urine (HyP-U) of participants were investigated. Pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system were assessed using Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire.

Results: Pb-exposure was significantly associated with musculoskeletal discomfort of the lower back (P<0.001), upper back (P<0.001), and ankle/foot (P=0.011). Among bone formation markers, serum OC was significantly lower in musculoskeletal discomfort of elbows (P=0.033) and ankle/foot (P=0.042). Among bone resorption markers, serum DPry was significantly lower in musculoskeletal discomfort of the neck (P=0.049) and shoulders (P=0.023). HyP-U was significantly higher in musculoskeletal discomfort of shoulders (P=0.035) and lower back (P=0.036).

Conclusion: As evidenced by the obtained results, Pb-exposure was associated with musculoskeletal discomfort of the lower back, upper back, and ankle/foot. Lower bone formation (serum OC) marker was noted with musculoskeletal discomfort of elbows and ankle/foot. Furthermore, bone resorption markers were associated with musculoskeletal discomfort of the neck, shoulders, and lower back. The findings of the present study suggested that long-term Pb-exposure and BTMs were associated with musculoskeletal discomfort.

Citation: Kalahasthi R, Bagepally BS, Barman T. Association between Musculoskeletal Pain and Bone Turnover Markers in Long-Term Pb-Exposed Workers. J Res Health Sci. 2021; 21(3): e00522.

© 2021 The Author(s); Published by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Humans are occupationally exposed to lead (Pb) in battery manufacturing plants, Pb-smelting, Pbrecycling, as well as lead-based paints and pigments¹. The main route of entry in occupational Pb exposure is through inhalation, and it is accumulated in soft tissues, such as erythrocytes, liver, and kidney, as well as hard tissues, namely the skeletal system and teeth. The skeletal system is required for vital movement, body support, and organ protection². In Pb exposure, the highest percentage of Pb is accumulated in the bone matrix which acts as the chief target organ. It has the ability to displace divalent metal ions (Zn2+, Ca2+, Mg2+&Fe2+) in proteins and a high binding affinity of thiol groups in active sites of enzymes. These Pb toxicity mechanisms contribute greatly to reduced skeletal growth and delayed fracture healing ^{3, 4}. Female workers in Pb-smelting and Pb-battery plants may have higher bone resorption with an increased risk of osteoporosis ^{5, 6}. Among Pb-battery workers, a review of the literature reported altered bone turnover markers (BTMs)⁷, diminished bone mineral density (BMD)⁸, and a threat to osteoporosis ⁹. Middle-aged workers reported a positive association between Pb-exposure and calcified cartilage turnover markers ¹⁰.

The evaluation of BTMs recommends the bone remodeling status, comprising bone formation and bone resorption activities ¹¹. Bone formation markers are used to assess the osteoblast cell activity, including alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), osteocalcin (OC), and procollagen type1 N propeptide (PINP). Bone resorption markers are employed to determine osteoclast activity. These

markers are the degradation products of collagen molecules, including tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b (TRACP-5b), DPry, Pry, UHyP, C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX-I), and N-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen (NTX-I). The evaluation of BTMs has been used to indicate bone loss, risk of fracture, and treatment efficacy of osteoporosis medications ¹². Recent reviews of the literature indicated that BTMs were associated with femoral neck size and strength ¹³, BMD ¹⁴, risk of hip fracture ¹⁵, skeletal histiocytosis ¹⁶, heel stiffness index ¹⁷, neck circumference ¹⁸, rheumatoid arthritis ¹⁹, and knee osteoarthritis ²⁰.

The MSDs are injuries or pain in the musculoskeletal system²¹, and work-related MSDs result from exposure to work-related risk factors ²². The literature review suggested that the musculoskeletal system is vulnerable to Pb-toxicity, even at low levels of Pb-exposure, affecting the motor skills, bone growth and development, dentition, bone density, fracture healing, and joint functions²³. Bone accounts for 94% of the body burden of Pb in adults ²⁴. Animal studies suggested that Pb-intoxication leads to delayed fracture healing and fibrous nonunion due to the progression of endochondral ossification²⁵. Occupational activities, such as repetitive overload, can cause bone loss and micro-cracks with an increase in receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa-B ligand (RAKL) and sclerostin ²⁶. The serum concentration of carboxyl-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (PICP) and CTx is associated with a heavy physical workload among construction workers ²⁷. Lower limb MSDs were associated with urinary c-telopeptide of collagen II²⁸. A recent study also reported that Pb-exposure and inflammatory markers were significantly associated with lower limb MSDs²⁹.

Nonetheless, there is a dearth of studies examining the effect of chronic occupational Pb exposure on pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system, as well as its association with biochemical parameters, such as BTMs. Therefore, the present study aimed to explore the association of (a) BLLs (Pb-exposure) with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system and (b) pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system and BTMs (formation and resorption) among the workers of Pb-battery plants. Furthermore, this study conducted a stratified analysis to explore the association of Pb-exposure with pain and discomfort of the upper body (neck, shoulder, and upper back), upper extremity (elbows and wrist/hands), lower extremity (thighs, ankle/foot, and knees), and BTMs.

Methods

This cross-sectional analytical study was conducted on 256 participants during 2014-2015. The subjects were assigned to two groups of study and control. The study group consisted of 176 male workers who were occupationally exposed to Pb for more than two years in a Pb-battery manufacturing plant situated in Tamil Nadu, India. The control group encompassed 80 office workers with no occupational exposure to Pb. The subjects in the study and control groups were matched for age and socioeconomic status. The Ethics Committee of the Regional Occupational Health Centre (Southern) approved the present study. The subjects were informed of the objectives of the study and signed written informed consent before their participation. Demographic information and a chronological

list of employment was obtained, and individual habits of subjects were collected using a structured questionnaire.

Pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system

The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire (NMQ) was employed to assess pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system of study and control subjects ³⁰. The NMQ is a validated questionnaire used to assess the frequencies of pain and discomfort in different parts of the musculoskeletal system³¹.

Collection of samples

From each subject, 2 ml heparinized and 3 ml whole blood samples were collected in tubes. Moreover, 50 ml of urine sample was collected from each subject. In this regard, 2 ml of heparinized whole blood sample was used for the estimation of BLLs, and 3 ml of whole blood collected in plain tubes was centrifuged at 3000 RPM for 10 min at 4°C for the separation of serum and blood cells. The serum sample was used for the estimation of BTMs. The collected urine sample was utilized for hydroxyproline (HyP) and creatinine determinations.

BLLs

The BLLs were measured as described by Barman et al. ³². In this protocol, 2 ml of heparinized whole blood sample was digested using an ETHOS-D microwave digestion system with 2 ml of nitric acid (HNO₃) and 0.2 ml of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). The digested samples were made up to 5 ml using distilled water. The BLLs concentration was measured by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC Avanta, Australia). For quality control, a known concentration Pb-standard solution (20 μ g/dL) was added to the sample and analyzed. The recovery rate was found to be 100% with less than 5% relative standard deviation for three replicates.

Bone formation markers

Serum levels of ALP, BAP, and OC were used as bone growth markers. The International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) method was used to estimate the ALP. The BAP activity was determined using the phenylalanine inhibition technique³³. Serum OC concentration was measured using the enzyme-linked immune-absorbent assay method (YH Bio search Laboratory, China). The absorbance of standards and samples were measured using Thermo Scientific Multiskan EX-reader (USA) at 450 nm. The detection range and sensitivity of the method were obtained at 0.5-150 ng/mL and 0.026 ng/mL, respectively. Serum OC quantity was expressed as ng/mL.

Bone resorption markers

The Pyr, DPyr, and TACRP-5 b in serum were estimated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and HyP in urine was measured by spectrophotometric method. Serum Pyr and DPyr were measured using the kit supplied by YH Bio search Laboratory, China. The absorbance of standards and samples were measured using Multiskan EX-reader (Thermo Scientific, USA) at 450 nm. The standard calibration curve was prepared in the range of 0.5-200 ng/mL for Pyr and used to determine unknown sample concentration with a sensitivity of 0.024 ng/mL. The assay range for DPyr method is 5-1000 nmol/L with a sensitivity of 5 nmol/L, and the results were expressed as nmol/L.

Serum Tartrate-Resistant Acid Phosphatase-5b

The estimation of serum TRACP-5b was conducted using Sarvari et al. ³⁴ method in which the serum samples were diluted tenfold in distilled water and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. An aliquot of 50µl diluted sample was added to 50µl of substrate solution in a Microplate, and the reaction was carried out at 37°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 µl of 1 M NaOH. A standard calibration curve was prepared in the range of 5-25 µg/ml using the p-nitrophenol solution in 0.05 M NaOH to determine unknown concentrations. The absorbance of standards and samples was assessed using a Multiskan microplate reader (Thermo Scientific, USA)) at a wave length of 405 nm, and the results were expressed as U/L. One unit (U) of TRACP-5b activity is defined as the amount of enzyme required to hydrolyze one micromole of p-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP) per minute at 37°C.

Urinary-HYP

The UHyP was estimated using the modified Neuman and Logan method³⁵. The hydroxyproline in urine reacted with CuSO₄ and H₂O₂ in an alkaline solution and produced pyrroline-4-carboxylic acid which is on acidification converted into pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid. The product was condensed with p-dimethyl amino benzaldehyde to obtain a red-colored complex, and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer (Elico-SL159, India). The urinary hydroxyproline was expressed as $\mu g/gram$ of creatinine.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis was performed in SPSS software (version 20). The normality of continuous variables was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Study and control subjects were compared in terms of such variables as age, Body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and BLLs using the student ttest. The non-normal distributed continuous variables, such as BTMs, between the subjects with and without MSDs were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The Chi-square test was also employed to compare the subjects in the study and control groups in terms of the frequency distribution of smoking, alcohol consumption, as well as pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system. The general linear model (GLM) with multivariate analysis was used to assess the effect of age, BMI, experience, BLLs, and BTMs on pain and discomfort of the musculoskeletal system. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The demographic characteristics of study and control subjects are presented in Table 1. The mean scores of age, SBP, DBP, BMI, as well as the frequency distribution of alcohol consumption and smoking habits among study subjects were found to be similar to those obtained in controls. The BLLs were significantly higher among the study group (P<0.001), as compared to controls. World Health Organization (WHO) has identified a BLLs threshold of 40 µg/dL for occupationally exposed workers (1980). Moreover, 49 (27.84%) cases in the study group were higher than the WHO threshold value for BLL; nonetheless, BLLs were below the WHO limit in the control group ³⁶.

Pain and discomfort in different parts of the body in study and control subjects are illustrated in Table 2. The study and control subjects were compared in terms of pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system using the Chi-square test. The proportion of pain and discomfort in the neck (P=0.017), shoulders (P=0.014), elbows (P=0.009), wrist/hands (P=0.015), upper back (P=0.017), low back (P=0.001), knee (P=0.049) and ankle/foot (P=0.002) were significantly higher in study subjects, as compared to controls. The most commonly reported pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system were observed at the lower back, followed by knee, shoulders, neck, ankle/feet, wrist/hand, elbows, upper back, and hips/thighs.

Table 1: I	Demographic	detail of s	study and	control subj	ects

Continuous	Study	(176)	Contro		
variables	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P-value
Age (yr)	36.6	4.0	37.4	10.0	0.361
Body mass index (Kg/m ²)	25.7	2.9	25.3	3.4	0.339
Occupational Pb exposure (yr)	13.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	-
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	77.7	10.7	74.8	12.7	0.059
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	127.8	14.6	127.5	19.1	0.890
Blood lead levels (µg/dL)	32.3	12.0	20.1	6.0	0.001
Categorical					
variables	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	P-value
Alcohol consumption	95	54	39	49	0.437
Smoking	38	22	19	24	0.700

 Table 2:Pain and discomfort of the musculoskeletal system of study and control subjects

Pain And Discomfort In The Body Region	Control (N=80), n (%)	Study (N=176), n (%)	<i>P</i> -value
Neck	3 (3.75)	24 (13.63)	0.017
Shoulders	4 (5.00)	28 (15.91)	0.014
Elbows	0 (0.00)	14 (7.95)	0.009
Wrist/Hands	1 (1.25)	17 (9.65)	0.015
Upper Back	0 (0.00)	12 (6.81)	0.017
Lower Back	7 (8.75)	58 (32.95)	0.001
Hips/Thighs	1 (1.25)	8 (4.54)	0.185
Knees	12 (15.00)	46 (26.13)	0.049
Ankle/Feet	0 (0.00)	20 (11.36)	0.002

The median BLLs and BTMs in subjects with or without pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system are displayed in Table 3. Higher BLLs were noted in subjects with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system, as compared to those without such pain. Significantly higher BLLs were reported in subjects with pain and discomfort in the upper back (P < 0.001), lower back (P < 0.001), and ankle/foot (P=0.011), as compared to those without respective pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system. In addition, the subjects with pain in elbows (P=0.033) and ankle/foot (P=0.042) had significantly lower OC, in comparison with those without pain and discomfort. Furthermore, the subjects with pain and discomfort in the neck (P=0.049) and shoulders (P=0.023) had significantly lower levels of collagen degradation products, such as serum DPyr, as compared to those without these ailments. Subjects with pain and discomfort in the shoulders (P=0.035) and lower back (P=0.036) had significantly higher levels of UHyp, as compared to those without respective compliant.

Table 3: Median levels of blood lead and bone turnover markers among subjects with or without musculoskeletal pain

			Bone format	ion		Bone	resorption	
Pain & discomfort Body region	BLLs	ALP	BAP	OC	Pyr (ma/mL)	Dpyr	TRACP5b	UHyP
• •	(µg/dL)	(U/L)	(U/L)	(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	(nM/L)	(U/L)	(µg/gcre)
Neck	25.00	06.00	27.00	12.00	20.00	226.00	2.50	5.00
No (229)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	30.00	236.00	2.50	5.00
Yes (27)	29.00	97.00	38.00	12.00	29.00	205.00	3.00	5.60
Shoulders								
No (224)	25.00	86.00	37.00	14.00	30.00	238.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (32)	26.50	92.00	34.50	12.00	29.00	205.00	2.65	6.25
Elbows								
No (242)	25.00	87.50	37.00	13.00	29.50	235.00	2.65	5.00
Yes (14)	30.00	74.00	33.50	11.00	31.00	216.50	2.30	5.05
Wrist/hands								
No (238)	25.50	86.00	37.00	13.00	29.50	235.50	2.60	5.00
Yes (18)	30.50	92.50	40.00	11.00	31.00	212.50	2.85	5.05
Upper back								
No (244)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	30.00	235.50	2.60	5.00
Yes (12)	36.50	103.00	36.50	12.00	30.50	213.50	2.50	6.40
Lower back								
No (191)	24.00	86.00	37.00	14.00	30.00	235.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (65)	32.00	87.00	39.00	12.00	30.00	235.00	3.00	5.20
Hips/Thighs								
No (247)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	30.00	235.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (09)	28.00	109.00	36.00	11.00	35.00	235.00	3.00	5.30
Knees								
No (198)	25.00	86.00	35.00	12.50	29.00	237.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (58)	29.00	88.00	38.50	12.00	31.00	231.50	3.00	5.00
Ankles/foot								
No (236)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	30.00	235.00	2.65	5.00
Yes (20)	35.00	88.50	37.50	12.00	29.50	242.50	2.50	5.00
L I s-blood lead levels Al								

BLLs=blood lead levels, ALP=Alakline phosphatse, BAP=Bone alkaline phosphatase,OC=Osteocalcin, Pry=Pyridinoline, DPyr=deoxypyridinoline, TRACP-5b=tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b,UHyP=urinary hydroxyproline

The median BLLs and BTMs among subjects with pain and discomfort in musculoskeletal systems of the upper body, as well as lower and upper extremity are reported in Table 4. The subjects with pain in the lower extremity had significantly higher BLLs (P=0-001), as compared to cases without the respective disorder. Although lower levels of serum OC were

observed among subjects with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system, it was not statistically significant. The collagen degradation products, such as serum DPyr, were significantly lower (P=0.005), and UHyp was significantly higher (P=0.006) among subjects with pain and discomfort in the upper body part of the musculoskeletal system.

Table 4: Median levels of blood lead and bone turnover markers among subjects with or without pain and discomfort of the upper body part, lower and upper extremities

	-	Bone formation		Bone resorption				
Area of body (number)	BLLs µg/dL	ALP U/L	BAP U/L	OC ng/mL	Pyr ng/mL	DPyr nM/L	TRACP5b U/L	UHyP µg/gcre
Upper body (Neck, shoulder, upper back)								
No (200)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	30.00	238.00	2.55	5.00
Yes (56)	29.00	89.00	35.00	12.50	29.00	205.00	2.70	5.65
Upper extremity (Elbow, wrist, hand)								
No (231)	25.00	86.00	37.00	13.00	29.00	235.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (25)	32.00	90.00	38.00	11.00	31.00	216.00	2.70	5.00
Lower extremity (Thighs, knee and Ankle/foot)								
No (173)	24.00	86.00	35.00	14.00	29.00	236.00	2.60	5.00
Yes (83)	31.00*	88.00	39.00	12.00	31.00	234.00	3.00	5.00

BLLs=blood lead levels, ALP=Alakline phosphatse, BAP=Bone alkaline phosphatase,OC=Osteocalcin, Pry=Pyridinoline, DPyr=deoxypyridinoline, TRACP-5b=tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b,UHyP=urinary hydroxyproline

The details of multivariate regression analysis are presented in Table 5. The general linear model (GLM) with multivariate analysis was used to assess the association between dependent and independent variables. In this model, the bone remodeling (bone formation & bone resorption) markers were employed as continuous dependent variables, while pain and discomfort in the skeletal system (neck, shoulders, elbows, wrist/hands, upper back, lower back, hips/thighs, knees and ankles/foot) were used as fixed factors or categorical independent variables after controlling for covariates of age, BMI, experience, smoking, alcohol consumption and blood pressure (SBP and DBP). The association between dependent and independent variables was evaluated using Wilks' Lambda (A), exact statistics (F), probability (P), and effect size (partial eta squared). The pain and discomfort in the upper back (Wilks' A=0.983, F=2.558, P=0.015 & partial ¹⁹2=0.09), blood lead levels (Wilks'A=0.175, F=1.163, P=0.039&partial ¹⁹2=0.22), and experience (Wilks' A=0.859, F=4.399, P=0.001 and partial ¹⁹2=0.14), was

significantly associated with combined bone remodeling markers after controlling for covariates.

	D . 11		· · · · ·		
Table 5:	Defails	ot	multivariate	regression	analysis
I unic c.	Dettunio	01	manuvanua	regression	anaryono

Effect	Values of Wilks' lambda	F	P-value	Partial eta squared
Neck	0.974	0.727	0.649	0.026
Shoulders	0.980	0.541	0.803	0.020
Elbows	0.966	0.947	0.472	0.034
Wrist/ Hands	0.983	0.462	0.861	0.017
Upper back	0.913	2.558	0.015	0.087
Lower back	0.978	0.604	0.752	0.022
Hips/ Thighs	0.960	1.107	0.360	0.040
Knees	0.981	0.517	0.821	0.019
Ankle/Feet	0.936	1.838	0.082	0.064
blood lead levels	0.175	1.163	0.039	0.220
Age	0.953	1.305	0.250	0.047
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.942	1.632	0.129	0.058
Experience	0.859	4.399	0.001	0.141
Smoking	0.966	0.943	0.475	0.034
Alcohol consumption	0.957	1.189	0.311	0.043
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.960	1.109	0.359	0.040
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.941	1.671	0.118	0.059

Discussion

According to WHO, Pb exposure accounted for 1.06 million deaths and 24.4 million years of healthy life lost (DALY) ³⁷. Furthermore, chronic Pb-exposure and chronic Pb-poisoning are associated with deleterious systemic effects, including the nervous system, cardiovascular, hemopoietic, renal, reproductive, skeletal, and gastrointestinal ^{38,39}. The mechanism of the effect of Pb-toxicity on dysfunction in different organs is reported due to displacement of divalent metal ions ⁴⁰, oxidative damage ⁴¹, inhibition of thiol groups in proteins ⁴², inhibition of enzymes in heme biosynthesis pathway ⁴³, and epigenetic modifications ⁴⁴.

The present study evaluated the association of BTMs (formation & resorption) with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system among long-term Pb-exposed workers in a Pb-battery plant. The blood Pb was estimated to assess Pbexposure ⁴⁵. We witnessed significantly higher BLLs with long-term Pb exposure. Moreover, the obtained results pointed to a significantly high prevalence of pain and discomfort in the lower back, followed by knees, shoulders, and neck among Pbexposed workers. Construction workers ⁴⁶, workers of garment factories ⁴⁷, gold miners ⁴⁸, and physical therapists ⁴⁹ also reported lower back pain and discomfort. They also complained about pain and discomfort in the neck, knees, shoulders, and upper back. The possible reasons for these work-related MSDs are extreme trunk flexion, repetitive motion, kneeling, heavy physical activity, and pushing and pulling of loads.

Lower bone formation (serum OC) was also detected with pain and discomfort in elbows and ankle/foot. The pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system of the neck, shoulders, and lower back were associated with bone resorption markers. In the present study, it was noted that BLLs in the study group were significantly higher, as compared to those in the control group. A recent study also reported significantly higher BLLs in similar occupational group 50 even at low levels of Pb-exposure, the

musculoskeletal system is susceptible to Pb-toxicity²¹. Based on the literature review, Pb-intoxicated animals had noxiousness in the peripheral motor system, muscular inactivity, and myopathy changes ⁵¹. Occupational Pb exposure is associated with lower BMD ⁵², osteoporosis ⁵³, and high bone resorption markers ^{5,54}. Bilateral weakness of proximal limb muscles, including shoulders, elbow, and hips, were reported with severe Pb poisoning 55. Nelson et al. 56 pointed out that Pb-exposure was primarily associated with bone and calcium turnover, as well as cartilage metabolism. In the current study, it was observed that BLLs were significantly associated with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system of the upper back, lower back, and ankle/foot. Longterm Pb-intoxication can cause motor neuron disease (MND), which is characterized by a decrease in the amplitude of the motor response, spinal motor neuron degeneration, axonal motor loss, and atrophy of muscle 57. The present study strengthened the previous observations regarding the association of chronic Pb-exposure with susceptibility of lower limbs, lower back, and upper back pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system.

The evaluation of BTMs is usually performed for the detection of early osteoporosis ¹². Based on related studies, the pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system are multimorbidities ⁵⁸, and these ailments are characterized by inflammation, pain, and motor dysfunction ⁵⁹. It was suggested that the markers of inflammation, cell stress, collagen synthesis, and degradation can serve as predictors for workrelated musculoskeletal injury 60. Ravibabu et al. 29 pointed to the association of Pb-exposure with inflammatory markers and MSDs of lower limbs. The current study examined the relationship of Pb-exposure with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system and BTMs. As documented in the literature, cartilage break down ⁶¹ and lower cartilage thickness ⁶² are associated with knee osteoarthritis. The present study assessed the relationship between bone resorption markers and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system among workers. The examined parameters, such as serum Pry, DPry, and UHyP, were used as collagen fragment markers and serum TRACP-5b, as a marker of osteoclast activity. In the current study, lower levels of serum Pyr, DPyr, and higher levels of serum TRACP-5b and UHyP were observed in workers who had pain and discomfort in the neck and shoulders. These observations were similar to the findings of a study conducted by Cucu et al. ⁶³. Furthermore, it was documented that neck/shoulder pain is associated with raised inflammation markers ⁶⁴. In this study, we observed that the pain and discomfort of the lower back were significantly associated with a higher excretion of urinary HyP, which is an indicator of collagen breakdown. Previous studies confirmed that pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system are characterized by reduced vascularity, loss of grip strength, muscle pain, muscular atrophy, and enhanced collagen degradation with lower muscle mass 65,66. The findings of the present study also confirmed that the collagen fragments are susceptible to pain and discomfort in the neck and shoulders.

Carp et al. ⁶⁰ also liked the elevated levels of collagen turnover with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system. In the present study, it was noted that the pain and discomfort in the ankle/foot and elbows were associated with lower serum OC, which is a marker of bone formation and osteoblast activity. Taylan et al. ⁶⁷ also pointed out a significantly lower concentration of serum osteoprotegerin

6/8 Musculoskeletal pain and BTMs

with ankylosing spondylitis, which is characterized by a decrease in osteoblastic activity. The results of this study indicated that the osteoblast activity in the form of bone formation was significantly lower in workers who had pain and discomforts in the knee and elbows. In the same context, Bhilet et al. 68 reported increased levels of urinary-CTX-II in osteoarthritis of knee joints. No significant association was detected between knee pain and collagen BTMs among workers in the current study. Along the same lines, Gielen et al. ⁶⁹ stated that the BTMs could not predict accelerated hip bone loss. In the current study, it was indicated that hip/thighs pain and discomfort were not significantly associated with BTMs. In line with the results of the present study, Masonet al. ²⁸ reported that lower limb pain and discomfort were significantly associated with cartilage markers and urinary Ctelopeptide of collagen II.

The present study also assessed the association of BTMs with three groups of pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system among workers. The three groups of pain and discomfort of the body areas are categorized into upper body, upper extremity, and lower extremity. The pain and discomfort in the upper body include neck, shoulder, and upper back. The pain and discomfort in elbows and wrist/hands were regarded as an upper extremity. The pain and discomfort in thighs/hips, knees, and ankle/foot were considered the lower extremity. The BLLs were higher in workers who had pain and discomfort in the upper and lower extremities. A significant increase was observed between lower extremity and BLLs. The bone resorption markers, such as serum DPyr and UHyP, were significantly associated with pain and discomfort in the upper body.

Conclusion

As evidenced by the obtained results, Pb-exposure was associated with pain and discomfort in musculoskeletal systems of the upper back, lower back, and ankle/foot. Lower bone formation (serum OC) was associated with pain and discomfort in the elbows and ankle/foot musculoskeletal system. Bone resorption markers were associated with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system of the neck, shoulders, and lower back. The results of the present study confirmed that long-term Pb-exposure and BTMs (Formation and resorption) were associated with pain and discomfort in the musculoskeletal system. These markers are of great help in better estimation of negative effects of chronic Pb-exposure to undertake appropriate preventive measures at the earliest.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Director, ICMR-National Institute of Occupational Health, for his support and encouragement in conducting this study. Authors are thankful to Dr. Raju N, AdepuVinay Kumar, N. Thara, and Miss. Shabrin Fatima for their technical assistance. Last but not least, the authors are grateful to the study participants, who willingly co-operative with the study.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

Indian Council of Medical Research.

Highlights

- The present study aimed to assess the association between musculoskeletal pain and bone turnover markers in lead (Pb)-exposed workers.
- Pb exposure was significantly associated with lower back, upper back, and ankle/foot pain.
- Bone formation marker: Lower serum osteocalcin was significantly associated with elbows and ankle/foot pain.
- Bone resorption marker: Lower serum deoxypyridinoline was significantly associated with neck and shoulder pain.
- Bone resorption marker: Higher hydroxyproline in urine was significantly associated with shoulder and lower back pain.
- Multivariate regression analysis indicated that the upper back, blood lead, and years of Pb exposure were significantly associated with bone turnover markers.

References

- 1. Balachandar R, Bagepally BS, Kalahasthi R, Haridoss M. Blood lead levels and male reproductive hormones: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Toxicology. 2020; 443: 152574.
- 2. Fernandes TAP, Gonçalves LML, Brito JAA. Relationships between Bone Turnover and Energy Metabolism. J Diabetes Res. 2017; 2017: 9021314.
- **3.** Haleagrahara N, Chakravarthi S, Kulur AB, Radhakrishnan A. Effects of chronic lead acetate exposure on bone marrow lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme activities in rats. Afr J Pharm Pharmacol. 2011; 5(7): 923-9.
- 4. Rodríguez J, Mandalunis PM. A Review of Metal Exposure and Its Effects on Bone Health. J Toxicol. 2018; 2018: 4854152.
- **5.** Potula V, Henderson A, Kaye W. Calcitropic hormones, bone turnover, and lead exposure among female smelter workers. Arch Environ Occup Health. 2005; 60(4): 195-204.
- **6.** Raafat BM, Hassan NS, Aziz SW. Bone mineral density (BMD) and osteoporosis risk factor in Egyptian male and female battery manufacturing workers. Toxicol Ind Health. 2012; 28(3): 245-52.
- Sun Y, Sun D, Zhou Z, Zhu G, Lei L, Zhang H, Chang X, Jin T. Estimation of benchmark dose for bone damage and renal dysfunction in a Chinese male population occupationally exposed to lead. Ann Occup Hyg. 2008; 52(6): 527-33.
- Sun Y, Jin TY, Sun DH, Zhu GY, Lei LJ, Zhang HY, Shen GZ. [Effects of occupational lead exposure on bone mineral density and bone metabolism in workers]. Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing ZaZhi. 2007; 25(5): 257-62.
- **9.** Sun Y, Sun D, Zhou Z, Zhu G, Zhang H, Chang X, Lei L, Jin T. Osteoporosis in a Chinese population due to occupational exposure to lead. Am J Ind Med. 2008; 51(6): 436-42.
- **10.** Akbal A, Tutkun E, Yılmaz H. Lead exposure is a risk for worsening bone mineral density in middle-aged male workers. Aging Male. 2014; 17(3): 189-93.
- **11.** Shetty S, Kapoor N, Bondu JD, Thomas N, Paul TV. Bone turnover markers: Emerging tool in the management of osteoporosis. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2016; 20(6): 846-52.

- **12.** Lorentzon M, Branco J, Brandi ML, Bruyère O, Chapurlat R, Cooper C et al. Algorithm for the Use of Biochemical Markers of Bone Turnover in the Diagnosis, Assessment and Follow-Up of Treatment for Osteoporosis. AdvTher. 2019; 36(10): 2811-24.
- 13. Shieh A, Ishii S, Greendale GA, Cauley JA, Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Karlamangla AS. A bone resorption marker as predictor of rate of change in femoral neck size and strength during the menopause transition. Osteoporos Int. 2019; 30(12): 2449-57.
- 14. Wochna K, Nowak A, Huta-Osiecka A, Sobczak K, Kasprzak Z, Leszczyński P. Bone Mineral Density and Bone Turnover Markers in Postmenopausal Women Subjected to an Aqua Fitness Training Program. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16(14): 2505.
- 15. Massera D, Xu S, Walker MD, Valderrábano RJ, Mukamal KJ, Ix JH et al.. Biochemical markers of bone turnover and risk of incident hip fracture in older women: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Osteoporos Int. 2019; 30(9): 1755-65.
- 16. Saini R, DiFrancesco LM, Johnston K, Khan A, Kline GA. Diffuse, fracturing systemic skeletal histiocytosis of unknown type: a novel metabolic bone disease. Osteoporos Int. 2019; 30(9): 1893-6.
- 17. Nishimura T, Arima K, Abe Y, Kanagae M, Mizukami S, Okabe T et al. Relationship between bone turnover markers and the heel stiffness index measured by quantitative ultrasound in post-menopausal Japanese women. Ann Hum Biol. 2019; 46(4): 330-4.
- 18. Albassam RS, Sabico S, Alnaami AM, Khattak MNK, Lei KY, Al-Daghri NM, et al. Bone metabolism markers are associated with neck circumference in adult Arab women. Osteoporos Int. 2019; 30(4): 845-52.
- **19.** Ben Achour W, Bouaziz M, Mechri M, Zouari B, Bahlous A, Abdelmoula L, et al. A cross sectional study of bone and cartilage biomarkers: correlation with structural damage in rheumatoid arthritis. Libyan J Med. 2018; 13(1): 1512330.
- 20. Min S, Wang C, Lu W, Xu Z, Shi D, Chen D, et al.. Serum levels of the bone turnover markers dickkopf-1, osteoprotegerin, and TNF-α in knee osteoarthritis patients. Clin Rheumatol. 2017; 36(10): 2351-8.
- **21.** Holz JE, Beier E, Puzas JE. Effects of lead deposition on the musculoskeletal system. Curr Top Toxicol. 2012; 8: 1-12.
- **22.** Bernard BP. Musculoskeletal disorders and workplace factors. A critical review of epidemiologic evidence for work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the neck, upper extremity, and lower back. 1997; NIOSH Publication No. 97-141. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/97-141.
- **23.** Oha K, Animägi L, Pääsuke M, Coggon D, Merisalu E. Individual and work-related risk factors for musculoskeletal pain: a cross-sectional study among Estonian computer users. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2014; 28; 15: 181.
- **24.** Barbosa F Jr, Tanus-Santos JE, Gerlach RF, Parsons PJ. A critical review of biomarkers used for monitoring human exposure to lead: advantages, limitations, and future needs. Environ Health Perspect. 2005; 113(12): 1669-74.
- 25. Carmouche JJ, Puzas JE, Zhang X, Tiyapatanaputi P, Cory-Slechta DA, Gelein R, Zuscik M, Rosier RN, Boyce BF, O'Keefe RJ, Schwarz EM. Lead exposure inhibits fracture healing and is associated with increased chondrogenesis, delay in cartilage mineralization, and a decrease in osteoprogenitor frequency. Environ Health Perspect. 2005; 113(6): 749-55.
- **26.** Barbe MF, Popoff SN. Occupational activities: factors that tip the balance from bone accrual to bone loss. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2020; 48(2): 59-66.

- 27. Kuiper JI, Verbeek JH, Everts V, Straub JP, Frings-Dresen MH. Serum markers of collagen metabolism: construction workers compared to sedentary workers. Occup Environ Med. 2005; 62(6): 363-7.
- **28.** Mason HJ, Evans G, Moore A. Urinary biomarkers and occupational musculoskeletal disorders in the lower limbs. Occup Med (Lond). 2011; 61(5): 341-8.
- **29.** Ravibabu K, Bagepally BS, Barman T. Association of Musculoskeletal Disorders and Inflammation Markers in Workers Exposed to Lead (Pb) from Pb-battery Manufacturing plant. Indian J Occup Environ Med. 2019; 23(2): 68-72.
- Crawford JO.The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire. Occup Med (Lond). 2007; 57:300-1.
- 31. Choi WJ, Sung NJ, Kang YJ, Han SH. Validity of NIOSH-and Nordic-style questionnaires in the screening and surveillance of neck and upper extremity work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Korean J Occup Environ Med. 2008; 20(3): 205-14.
- **32.** Barman T, Kalahasthi R, Rajmohan HR. Effects of lead exposure on the status of platelet indices in workers involved in a lead-acid battery manufacturing plant. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2014; 24(6): 629-33.
- 33. MasrourRoudsari J, Mahjoub S. Quantification and comparison of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase with two methods in normal and paget's specimens. Caspian J Intern Med. 2012; 3(3): 478-83.
- **34.** Sarvari BK, SankaraMahadev D, Rupa S, Mastan SA. Study of serum TRACP 5b as a sensitive and specific bone resorption marker of bone metastases in prostate cancer patients in comparison with bone scintigraphy. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research. 2013; 4: 420-6.
- **35.** Neuman RE, Logan MA. The determination of hydroxyproline. J.Biol. Chem. 1950; 184(1): 299-306.
- **36.** World Health Organization. Recommended health-based limits in occupational exposure to heavy metals: report of a WHO study group [meeting held in Geneva from 5 to 11 June 1979]. WHO. (Cited 26 May 021). Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41401
- **37.** Lead poisoning and Health. WHO website; 2019. (Cited 26 May 021) Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/lead-poisoning-and-health.
- 38. Mitra P, Sharma S, Purohit P, Sharma P. Clinical and molecular aspects of lead toxicity: An update. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2017; 54(7-8): 506-28.
- **39.** Nazma M, Dhivaya K, GnanaChaitanya D, Divyasree P, Lakshmi Prasanna S. A case report on chronic lead poisoning from occupational exposure. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2017; 10(6): 1-2.
- **40.** Maret W. The bioinorganic chemistry of lead in the context of its toxicity. Lead–its effects on environment and health. Met. Ions Life Sci. 2017; 17: 1-20.
- **41.** Paithankar JG, Saini S, Dwivedi S, Sharma A, Chowdhuri DK. Heavy metal associated health hazards: An interplay of oxidative stress and signal transduction. Chemosphere. 2021; 262: 128350.
- **42.** Trombini TL, Oliveira E, Berlese DB, Minozzo R, De Deus T, Muller CD, et al.. Inhibition of thiol-containing enzymes in erythrocytes of workers exposed to lead. Interciencia. 2015; 40(2): 84-90.
- 43. Kshirsagar MM, Patil MJ, Patil A, Ganesh M. Effects of lead on haem biosynthesis and haematological parameters in battery manufacturing workers of western Maharashtra, India. Journal

8/8 Musculoskeletal pain and BTMs

of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences. 2016; 3(4): 477-87.

- **44.** Mani MS, Kabekkodu SP, Joshi MB, Dsouza HS. Ecogenetics of lead toxicity and its influence on risk assessment. Hum ExpToxicol. 2019; 38(9): 1031-59.
- **45.** Hu H, Shih R, Rothenberg S, Schwartz BS. The epidemiology of lead toxicity in adults: measuring dose and consideration of other methodologic issues. Environ Health Perspect. 2007; 115(3): 455-62.
- 46. Bodhare T, Valsangkar S, Bele S. An epidemiological study of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers in karimnagar, andhrapradesh. Indian J Community Med. 2011; 36(4): 304-7.
- **47.** Nabi MH, Kongtip P, Woskie S, Nankongnab N, Sujirarat D, Chantanakul S. Factors associated with musculoskeletal disorders among female readymade garment workers in bangladesh: a comparative study between OSH compliant and non-compliant factories. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021; 14: 1119-27.
- **48.** Okello A, Wafula ST, Sekimpi DK, Mugambe RK. Prevalence and predictors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among workers of a gold mine in south Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2020; 21(1): 797.
- **49.** Milhem M, Kalichman L, Ezra D, Alperovitch-Najenson D. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists: A comprehensive narrative review. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2016; 29(5): 735-47.
- **50.** Sudjaroen Y and Suwannahong K. Biomarker related lead exposure of industrial battery's workers. Ann Trop Med Public Health. 2017; 10: 194-8.
- **51.** Badawoud MH, Hassan SM. Effects of exposure to lead on the peripheral motor system of the rat. An ultrastructural study. Neurosciences (Riyadh). 2013; 18(1): 52-7.
- 52. Wang WJ, Wu CC, Jung WT, Lin CY. The associations among lead exposure, bone mineral density, and FRAX score: NHANES, 2013 to 2014. Bone. 2019; 128: 115045.
- 53. Jalili C, Kazemi M, Taheri E, Mohammadi H, Boozari B, Hadi A, Moradi S. Exposure to heavy metals and the risk of osteopenia or osteoporosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int. 2020; 31(9): 1671-82.
- **54.** Kalahasthi R, Barman T, Bagepally BS. Assessment of bone turnover biomarkers in lead-battery workers with long-term exposure to lead. Int J Occup Environ Med. 2020; 11(3): 140-7.
- **55.** Srisuma S, Lavonas EJ, Wananukul W. Proximal muscle weakness in severe lead poisoning from retained bullet fragments. ClinToxicol (Phila). 2015; 53(6): 586-7.
- **56.** Nelson AE, Chaudhary S, Kraus VB, Fang F, Chen JC, Schwartz TA et al. Whole blood lead levels are associated with biomarkers of joint tissue metabolism in African American and white men and women: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. Environ Res. 2011; 111(8): 1208-14.
- **57.** Mazliah J, Barron S, Bental E, Rogowski Z, Coleman R, Silbermann M. The effects of long-term lead intoxication on the

nervous system of the chicken. Neurosci Lett. 1989; 101(3): 253-7.

- 58. Duffield SJ, Ellis BM, Goodson N, Walker-Bone K, Conaghan PG, Margham T, Loftis T. The contribution of musculoskeletal disorders in multimorbidity: Implications for practice and policy. Best Pract Res ClinRheumatol. 2017; 31(2): 129-44.
- **59.** Barbe MF, Barr AE. Inflammation and the pathophysiology of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. BrainBehav Immun. 2006; 20(5): 423-9.
- **60.** Carp SJ, Barr AE, Barbe MF. Serum biomarkers as signals for risk and severity of work-related musculoskeletal injury. Biomark Med. 2008; 2(1): 67-79.
- **61.** Bartels EM, Henrotin Y, Bliddal H, Centonze P, Henriksen M. Relationship between weight loss in obese knee osteoarthritis patients and serum biomarkers of cartilage breakdown: secondary analyses of a randomised trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2017; 25(10): 1641-6.
- **62.** Wirth W, Hunter DJ, Nevitt MC, Sharma L, Kwoh CK, Ladel C, Eckstein F. Predictive and concurrent validity of cartilage thickness change as a marker of knee osteoarthritis progression: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2017; 25(12): 2063-71.
- **63.** Cucu A, Shreder K, Kraft D, Rühle PF, Klein G, Thiel G, Frey B, Gaipl US, Fournier C. Decrease of markers related to bone erosion in serum of patients with musculoskeletal disorders after serial low-dose radon spa therapy. Front Immunol. 2017; 8: 882.
- **64.** Farrell SF, de Zoete RMJ, Cabot PJ, Sterling M. Systemic inflammatory markers in neck pain: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Eur J Pain. 2020; 24(9): 1666-86.
- 65. Gold JE, Hallman DM, Hellström F, Björklund M, Crenshaw AG, Mathiassen SE, Barbe MF, Ali S. Systematic review of quantitative imaging biomarkers for neck and shoulder musculoskeletal disorders. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2017; 18(1): 395.
- **66.** Fujiwara M, Iwata M, Inoue T, Aizawa Y, Yoshito N, Hayashi K, Suzuki S. Decreased grip strength, muscle pain, and atrophy occur in rats following long-term exposure to excessive repetitive motion. FEBS Open Bio. 2017; 7(11): 1737-49.
- **67.** Taylan A, Sari I, Akinci B, Bilge S, Kozaci D, Akar S, Colak A, Yalcin H, Gunay N, Akkoc N. Biomarkers and cytokines of bone turnover: extensive evaluation in a cohort of patients with ankylosing spondylitis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2012; 13: 191.
- **68.** Bihlet AR, Byrjalsen I, Bay-Jensen AC, Andersen JR, Christiansen C, Riis BJ, Karsdal MA. Associations between biomarkers of bone and cartilage turnover, gender, pain categories and radiographic severity in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2019; 21(1): 203.
- **69.** Gielen E, O'Neill T, Pye S, Adams J, Ward K, Wu F, Laurent M, Claessens F, Boonen S, Vanderschueren D, Verschueren S. Bone turnover markers predict hip bone loss in elderly European men: results of the European Male Ageing Study (EMAS). Osteoporos Int. 2015; 26(2): 617-27.