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ABSTRACT

Background: Type 2 diabetes is an important risk factor for cognitive decline in diabetic patients. The main goal of this study was the assessment of memory, attention and visuospatial ability dysfunctions in patients with type 2 diabetes in comparison to pre-diabetic patients and normal subjects in Endocrine and Metabolism Center of Isfahan City from April 2011 to July 2011.

Methods: The sample comprised of 32 patients with type 2 diabetes, 28 pre-diabetic patients and 30 healthy individuals. Memory, attention and visuospatial ability were assessed by Rey Complex Figure Test (RCFT), Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) and sub tests of Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R).

Results: The pair wise comparisons of cognitive functions among three groups, suggesting a significant difference between diabetic and normal groups in PASAT3". PASAT2", RCFT (recall trial) and Symbol coding (P=0.003, P=0.009, P=0.010, and P<0.001, respectively). But there was no difference in copy trial of RCFT and block design between two groups (P=0.170, P=0.490). There was significant difference between pre-diabetic group and normal group in recall trial of RCFT (P=0.020), as well as significant difference between diabetes type 2 and pre-diabetic group in symbol coding (P=0.001).

Conclusion: There were significant differences in cognitive functions in patient with type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetic patients and normal individuals. Thus monitoring neuropsychological status besides controlling levels of blood sugar in these patients is important.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is associated with cognitive decline and is thought an important risk factor of dementia and Alzheimer disease. The risk effect is stronger when diabetes occurs at mid life than in late life. Numerous cross-sectional studies have shown decrements in memory, attention and visuospatial ability performances in patients with type 2 diabetes, and a few recent studies have reported on neuropsychological functioning in the early stage of type 2 diabetes. There is a decrement in cognitive functioning in patients with type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetic patients. This decrement in cognitive functioning is associated with brain atrophy, and was thought to be modulated by insulin. The stage of that decrement was not manifested and may be started in pre-diabetic stage.

The present study provides evidence on the relationship between cognitive and neuropsychological functioning with type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetic patients.

Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional study between April and July 2011 in Endocrine and Metabolism Center of
Isfahan City, central Iran. The sample size was 32 for diabetes, 28 for pre-diabetes, and 30 for normal subjects respectively. Diabetic and pre-diabetic patients were selected after the diagnosis made by a specialist according to American Diabetes Association criteria for diagnosis, and also according to their clinical data recorded in their files. Correlation coefficients between the research variable and the demographic variables including age, sex and academic status were controlled by the researcher.

The inclusion criteria were being diabetic or pre-diabetic according to the diagnosis made by a specialist, age range between 35 to 60 years, being educated (from grade 9 and up), having no deficits in visual and auditory abilities and in using the hands, and finally having no depression. The control group was matched with the experimental groups, and was selected from personnel of University of Isfahan, and personnel of some elementary schools. The patients were selected randomly according to the research criteria. The criteria for diagnosis of diabetes according to American Diabetes Association is fasting plasma glucose (FPG) at or above 126 mg/dl(7.0 mmol/l), at 2-h value in an oral tolerance test (OGGT) at or above 200 mg/dl(11.1 mmol/l) and if plasma glucose test greater than 100 mg/dl but less than 126 mg/dl, one may have impaired fasting glucose (IFG). Some people also impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), a condition in which blood glucose levels are higher than normal(140 mg/dl but less than199 mg/dl) 2 hours after the start of an oral glucose tolerance test (GTT), if you have IFG and or IGT, one may be diagnosed with pre-diabetes.

Written consent was given from each patient, and they were interviewed by the researcher for not being clinically depressed. Then all of the three groups were assessed by neuropsychological tests. Other clinical and demographic data was obtained from each patient’s files.

Statistical Analysis

Shapiro - Wilk and Kolmogorov Smirnov were used to tests of normality for data, and in some data the Kruskal-Wallis test was used instead of parametric statistical tests. In addition, Leavene’s test was used to test the equality of variances. There was equality of variances for the results of Rey Complex Figure Test (RCFT) (recall trial) test, symbol coding and block design; however, there was not equality of variances for Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT), and RCFT(copy trial) test, therefore in addition to ANCOVA, the non parametric tests was also done for the data.

Since the authors have used analysis of covariance for the data, first of all the correlations between cognitive functions and demographic variables were calculated, and then those variables with significant effects were controlled; and finally the analysis of covariance was used in order to find possible differences between cognitive functions in three groups.

Neuropsychological assessment

1. Tests of Block Design and Symbol Coding from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scales-Revised test battery. Test –retest reliability of the test, 0.90 is reported.

2. Rey Complex Figure Test. This test is composed of copy trials, immediate and delayed recall, as well as recognition. The validity of this test was 0.50 and test-retest reliability of this test is about 0/90 has been reported.

3. Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test. This test is a serial addition task used to assess capacity and rate attention and sustained and divided attention. The reliability of this test was 0.90. The reliability of the test was calculated by the authors of this article. The calculated Cronbach's alpha was 0.74.

The neuropsychological assessment consisted of 6 verbal and non-verbal tasks, administered in fixed order that took about 30 min for each patient. The tasks were divided into 3 cognitive domains. The domain of memory performance was assessed by symbol coding of Wechsler Adult Intelligence Revised, and Rey Complex Figure Test. This test composed of a copy trial and an immediate and a delayed recall trial, as well as recognition test. Attention was assessed by Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test, and symbol coding of Wechsler Adult Intelligence Revised and visuospatial ability was assessed by block design of Wechsler Adult Intelligence Revised, and copy trial of Rey Complex Figure Test.

Results

According to Table 1 there was a significant difference among three groups, after controlling the variables of age, sex and academic status (P<0.001). Eta square shows that 19% of the difference in cognitive functions can be due to the difference among three groups.

Table 1: Results of multivariate analysis of variance in patient with type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetes and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical index</th>
<th>Wilks Lambda</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Partial Eta Squared</th>
<th>Observed Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 2 the difference in cognitive functions among three groups were statistically significant for PASAT3” (P=0.012), PASAT2” (P=0.033), RCFT (recall trial) (P=0.022), and symbol coding (P=0.001) respectively, but not for RCFT (copy trial) (P=0.401) and block designing (P=0.028).

Table 3 shows the pair wise comparisons of cognitive functions among three groups, suggesting a significant difference between diabetic and normal groups in PASAT3”. PASAT2”, RCFT (recall trial) and Symbol cod-
ing \((P=0.003, P=0.009, P=0.010, \text{ and } P=0.001, \text{ respectively})\). But there was no difference in copy trial of RCFT and block design between two groups \((P=0.179 \text{ and } P=0.491 \text{ respectively})\). There was significant difference between pre-diabetic group and normal group in recall trial of RCFT \((P=0.026), \text{ as well as significant difference between diabetes type 2 and pre-diabetic group in symbol coding } (P=0.001)\).

As seen in Figure 1, the mean-adjusted indicated that the scores of control group in memory (Rey Complex Figure Test and Symbol Coding), attention (Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test) and visuospatial abilities (block design and Rey Complex Figure Test) are significantly more and higher than both diabetic and pre-diabetic patients.

**Table 2**: Results of multivariate analysis of covariate in patient with type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetes and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sum of square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Partial Eta squared</th>
<th>Observed power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PASAT3*</td>
<td>705.78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASAT2*</td>
<td>399.62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT(copy trial)</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT(recall trial)</td>
<td>192.06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol coding</td>
<td>1865.52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block design</td>
<td>76.19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Results of pairwise comparisons in patients with type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetes and normal group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PASAT3*</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-4.01</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-7.13</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASAT2*</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>-3.09</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT(copy trial)</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT(recall trial)</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-3.05</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol coding</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-7.39</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-11.44</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>-4.04</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block design</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Pre-diabetic</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pre-diabetes</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

There was a significant difference among normal, diabetic and pre-diabetic groups in Andre Rey figure test. This finding is concordant with the reports of Arvantakis et al. 17 and Brundel et al. 28. Ruis et al. 29 in their study reported that there was a significant difference between diabetics and control groups in their cognitive functions, which was similar to the results of this study. Also the findings of this study is concordant with those of Yeung et al. 30 and Berg et al. 9, suggesting that type 2 diabetics show a decrement in the speed of their cognitive abilities. Cooray et al. 11 reported that there was a significant difference in word fluency and visuospatial ability in the baseline of diabetic patients; however, after start of therapy and controlling the glycemic of the patients this difference was disappeared. This finding is also similar to the results of present research.

One of the important findings of this study was that both diabetic and pre-diabetic patients shown a significant difference in memory function, in comparison to normal group; however, there was no difference among them in the speed of cognitive processing. The similarity between our results, and those of Ruis et al. 29, again emphasizes on the cognitive deficits which is evident, even in pre-diabetic period of illness. As some recent researchers have shown there is a possibility of a relationship between Alzheimer disease and diabetes 31, and even between pre-diabetics and Alzheimer as well 32. Baker et al. 33 reported that there might be a relationship between insulin resistance and deterioration of an Alzheimer type. All of these studies shown that the possible link between cognitive deterioration and diabetes might be serious, and future researches should open a new way in our understanding of the possible related factors.

The prominent innovative of this study was that, it was carried out on a sample of pre diabetic patients for the first time. The second importance of this study was
that for the first time the Iranian norm for some research tools was calculated and applied by the authors. Several limitation of the present study should be considered. First our study did not analyze the effects of duration of diabetes type 2 and pre-diabetes. The second limitation of this study was that the level of literacy of the patients was supposed to be more than second year of secondary school, however, the literacy of some patients were lower than that.

**Conclusion**

These findings suggest that diabetic and pre-diabetic patients experience decline in cognitive functioning. Thus monitoring neuropsychological status besides controlling levels of blood sugar in these patients is important.
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