

JRHS Journal of Research in Health Sciences

doi:10.34172/jrhs.2024.153 JRHS 2024; 24(3):e00618



Review Article

Retention in Care Among People Living with HIV in Nigeria: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

John O. Olawepo (MBBS, Ph. D)^{1,2+} (¹), Katherine O'Brien (BS)¹, Julia Papasodoro (BS)¹, Philip E. Coombs (MLIS)³, Neha Singh (MSc)¹, Shubhi Gupta (MSc)⁴, Aarushi Bhan (BSc)⁵, Babayemi O. Olakunde (MBChB, Ph. D)^{2,6}, Echezona E. Ezeanolue (MD, MPH)^{2,7}

¹Department of Health Sciences, Bouvé College of Health Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, USA

²Center for Translation and Implementation Research (CTAIR), University of Nigeria, Enugu, Nigeria

³Department of Research and Instruction, Northeastern University Library, Northeastern University, Boston, USA ⁴Department of Health Informatics, Khoury, College of Computer Science, Bouvé College of Health Sciences, Northeastern

⁴Department of Health Informatics, Khoury College of Computer Science, Bouvé College of Health Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, USA

⁵Department of Biology, College of Science, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

⁶Department of Population and Community Health, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, Texas, USA ⁷Healthy Sunrise Foundation, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

Article history:

Received: February 12, 2024 Revised: February 18, 2024 Accepted: April 6, 2024 ePublished: July 31, 2024

Keywords:

Retention in care, Nigeria, Antiretroviral agents, Meta-analysis

*Corresponding author: John O. Olawepo, Email: j.olawepo@northeastern.edu



Abstract

Background: In 2021, Nigeria had an estimated 1.9 million people living with the human immunodeficiency virus (PLHIV) and 1.7 million (90%) on antiretroviral therapy (ART). **Study Design:** A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: This meta-analysis followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines. We searched PubMed, Embase, PsychINFO, CINAHL, Global Index Medicus, and Cochrane Library. Studies were included if they reported on ART retention in care among PLHIV in Nigeria. The random-effects meta-analyses were used to combine the studies that had complete retention data. The I² statistic was used to assess the heterogeneity of the studies. A sensitivity analysis was then done by conducting a leave-one-out analysis. Afterward, data were analyzed using STATA version 18.

Results: The search yielded 966 unique articles, of which 52 studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis, and four experimental studies were split into their component arms. The total number of study participants was 563,410, and the pooled retention rate was 72% (95% Cl: 67%, 76%; l²=99.9%; n=57). Sub-analysis showed that the Southeast region of Nigeria had the highest retention of 86% (95% Cl: 78%, 92%), and the South-South had the lowest retention (58%; 95% Cl: 38%, 79%).

Conclusion: In Nigeria, the pooled ART retention rate is less than optimal to achieve the UNAIDS goal of 95%, thus developing new models for ART retention is needed.

Please cite this article as follows: Olawepo JO, O'Brien K, Papasodoro J, Coombs PE, Singh N, Gupta S, et al. Retention in care among people living with HIV in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Res Health Sci. 2024; 24(3):e00618. doi:10.34172/jrhs.2024.153

Background

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a complex disease that has led to significant morbidity and mortality since it was first identified in the 1980s.¹ In 2021, 38.4 million adults and children globally were living with HIV, with 1.5 million of those individuals newly infected.² Sub-Saharan Africa bears the highest burden with 51% of new HIV infections occurring in the region.² Nigeria, Africa's most populous country, had 1.9 million adults and children living with HIV in 2021, with an adult prevalence rate of 1.3%.³ Seventy-four thousand (74000) new infections occurred in 2021, along with 51 000 deaths. Since 2010, new HIV infections in Nigeria have decreased

by 39% which is less than the global average decrease.³

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is the mainstay of HIV treatment, and it prevents transmission by reducing the viral load of the infected individual to very low levels.⁴ Globally, around 85% of people living with HIV (PLHIV) are aware of their status, and 75% of PLHIV are adhering to ART.² In Nigeria, 90% of PLHIV are on ART, and more adult women (97%) are receiving ART than adult men (94%) and children (31%). Moreover, 34% of pregnant women receive treatment for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT).³ Nigeria implements a "test and treat" policy, where all HIV-positive persons are eligible to receive ART, regardless of their CD4+cell

© 2024 The Author(s); Published by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

count. Although evidence suggests that most PLHIV will achieve viral load suppression within 6 months of ART initiation,^{5,6} it is unknown what proportion of PLHIV in Nigeria is retained on treatment long enough to attain and maintain viral suppression.

Numerous studies have documented the importance of retention in HIV care for both viral suppression and mortality.⁷⁻⁹ Retention in care has contributed to averting mortality rates and has been linked to better health outcomes and safer sexual behaviors.7,10 However, there is no clear gold standard definition of retention, and the choice of retention metric is contextual. Retention is complex, challenging to define, and hard to measure.¹¹ It is defined differently across various agencies. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines retention as two viral load tests or CD4 cell counts completed more than 3 months apart.¹² In contrast, the World Health Organization (WHO) defines retention more loosely as the routine attendance of services such as clinic appointments and taking medication as per the patient's needs.¹³ Likewise, the Health Resources Service Administration (HRSA) and Institute of Medicine (IOM) provide different definitions: two medical visits at least 90 days apart and at least two medical visits per 12 months, respectively.12

Several studies have reported retention in care among PLHIV in Nigeria, but the differing definitions have created a knowledge gap about the true proportion of PLHIV retained on ART in Nigeria. Accordingly, this systematic review and meta-analysis strived to provide a pooled estimate of ART retention in Nigeria based on the literature published on this topic to better inform retention programs in the future.

Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) 2020 reporting guidelines.¹⁴ The review protocol was registered in PROSPERO on March 8, 2022.¹⁵ Searches were constructed by a librarian (PEC) with expertise in health sciences systematic reviews in September 2021. The following databases were subsequently searched: PubMed (Supplementary file 1, Table S1), Embase via Embase.com, PsycINFO (via EBSCO), CINAHL (via EBSCO), Global Index Medicus, and the Cochrane Library. Full search strings for each database are accessible at Northeastern University Library's Digital Repository Service (https://repository. library.northeastern.edu/files/neu:0v838204v).¹⁶

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Eligibility criteria included studies with outcomes relating to retention in care for PLHIV who are on ART in Nigeria. Studies were excluded if they were not conducted in Nigeria, the population was not on ART, or there were no reported outcomes relating to retention in care. In addition, there were no restrictions on the publication date or study design, and eligible studies were limited to those published in the English language.

Data collection and extraction

The systematic review screening software Rayyan¹⁷ was used to deduplicate results. A dual-blinded screening was conducted using Rayyan at both title/abstract (JP and KO) and full-text stages (KO and JP). Conflicts were resolved through team discussion and consensus (JOO, JP, and KO), and data extraction was conducted by four reviewers (JOO, JP, KO, and SG). The extracted information included first author, title, journal, year of publication, study design, study population, geopolitical region where the study was conducted, number of study participants (specifying arm, if randomized trial), age of participants (mean, median, and/or range), duration of study (year - year), followup time, number of retained participants, and retained proportion. Three reviewers (JP, KO, and SG) split up the articles equally and extracted data from each paper. The data were subsequently reviewed and organized (by JOO). In instances in which retention was measured multiple times during a study, we used the last (most recent) measurement. In addition, some of the studies did not state the number of people or proportion retained. Some of the studies provided figures for those lost to follow-up (LTFU), and these figures were extrapolated to compute the number retained on ART. For the age categorization, we aligned our analysis based on how the eligible studies categorized their participants, that is, participants≤14 years were categorized as children, those aged 15-17 were adolescents, those aged 18-24 were young adults, and individuals aged 18-65 + were categorized as adults.

Quality review

Included studies were assessed for risk of bias using the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) quality assessment tool for quantitative studies.¹⁸ Articles were evaluated and rated strong, moderate, or weak based on selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, and withdrawals and dropouts. A global rating was then assigned based on the criteria outlined in the EPHPP. Subsequently, articles received a global rating as good if there were no individual weak ratings in any category or moderate if there was only one weak rating in any individual category. The included articles were independently screened for quality assessment by two reviewers (JP and KO), and disagreements were resolved through discussion with JOO for the team to reach a consensus.

Statistical analysis

The eligible articles were examined for summary statistical measures, primarily the proportion of PLHIV retained on ART. Only the studies that had the number retained (numerator) and the base population on ART (denominator) were included in the meta-analysis. Due to the widely varying nature of the studies, random effects meta-analyses were used to combine all the eligible studies.

Experimental studies where participants had different exposure or intervention arms were split into their component arms for ease of the meta-analysis. Egger's regression test was performed for asymmetry in the funnel plot for publication bias. The I² statistic was used to assess the heterogeneity of the studies. A pooled effect size based on the individual effect sizes and their sampling variances was computed using the DerSimonian-Laird betweenstudy variance estimators. We assessed influential cases/ outliers to see if the pooled effect estimate we found was robust. A Baujat plot was used to detect studies that overly contributed to the heterogeneity in the meta-analysis. We also conducted a moderator analysis (Subgroup analysis). Sensitivity analysis was performed using a leave-one-out analysis. Sub-analyses were performed by age categories, geographical regions of Nigeria, participant follow-up time, and year of publication. Data were analyzed using STATA version 18.0.

Results

Search results

The initial search of the literature yielded 1,494 articles, of which 528 duplicate articles were removed through Rayyan.¹⁷ The remaining 966 articles were dually screened by abstract and titles, with 114 articles approved for full-text screening. Accordingly, 54 studies were included for the qualitative synthesis, and 52 for the meta-analytic synthesis (Supplementary file 1, Table S2)¹⁹⁻⁷² Sixty articles were excluded for the following reasons: wrong outcome (n = 22), some or all participants not on ART (n = 16), no retention data (n = 10), not specific to Nigeria (n = 6), study has not yet begun (n = 4), abstract only (n = 1), and reports on the same study population (n = 1) (see Figure 1 for the PRISMA Flow Diagram).

Description of included studies and qualitative synthesis

The 54 eligible studies were published between 2005 and 2021. Seventeen studies were conducted across multiple states not enclosed within a specific geographical region in Nigeria, followed by 15 studies within states in the North-Central region. There were no identified studies conducted solely within states in the North-East region of Nigeria (Figure 2). Most of the studies were retrospective cohorts (n=36, 67%), followed by prospective cohorts (n=6, 11%), mixed designs (n=5, 9%), quasi-experimental designs (n=4, 7%), and randomized trials (n=3, 6%). The total number of study participants from the 54 studies was 563 410. Furthermore, eight studies had more than 10 000 participants, but most of the studies (n=20) had between 1000 and 10 000 participants. The follow up time of the studies ranged from 6 months to 14 years.

Overall, we identified multiple definitions of retention among the eligible studies. For example, some authors defined retention as "being in care if the time between any two consecutive visits was \leq 90 days and the time between the last visit and censor date was \leq 180 days."^{23,24} Others defined retention as "the number of people known to be alive and on ART 12 months after starting ART, including those who interrupted care (missed one or two appointments or drug pick-ups.)"34 Some authors further categorized their definition on how good or adequate the retention was. For example, "participants with a total of four visits (over 12 months) were categorized as having adequate retention while those who made less than four visits were categorized as having inadequate retention,"38 or "participants who visited the hospital and received ART refill at least once in each quarter for all the four quarters were classified as having good retention. Otherwise, they were classified as having poor retention."72 Other authors also defined retention as "being alive and still on ART at the time of last appointment,"42,63,68 as "not having missed a scheduled appointment by more than 28 days,"45 as 2 HIV care-related visits to the clinic in each 24-week observation period,"69 or "having one or more clinic visits in the one year review period."71

Some contextual definitions were also identified. For example, in a differentiated care delivery model, retention was defined as "any stable ART patient who remained within their Community Anti-retroviral Groups (without default in routine clinic visits) at the end of the one-year follow-up period."41 Additionally, in the eligible studies conducted in PMTCT settings, participants were defined as "fully retained-in-care at 6 months postpartum if the woman attended the 6-month postpartum visit (630 days) and did not miss any previous scheduled visit by more than 30 days (starting from ANC booking) or partially retainedin-care at 6 months postpartum if the woman attended the 6-month postpartum visit (630 days) but missed one or more earlier scheduled visits by more than 30 days."64 Another study on PMTCT also defined maternal retention as "...by clinic attendance during the first 6-month postpartum. Participants with ≥ 3 of 6 expected monthly visits were considered retained."65,67

Risk of bias and rating of study quality

Using the EPHPP tool, the quality assessment showed that 40.7% (n=22) of studies had moderate rating, 38.9% (n=21) of studies had strong rating, and 20.4% (n=11) of them had weak rating. Full results are available in Supplementary file 1 (Table S3) .

Meta-analysis results

Fifty-two studies were included in the meta-analysis. Two out of the 54 studies were excluded from the meta-analysis because they only provided retention rates but no data on the number of patients retained.^{27,42} The pooled retention rate was 72% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 67,76; $I^2 = 99.9\%$; n = 57), as depicted in Figure 3, and retention ranged from 36.4% to 100%. There was no significant difference in proportion retained across publication years, age categories, region, or participant follow-up time. The Egger's test (standard error=1.27, z=0.40, P=0.6884) showed evidence of small-study effects (see funnel plot in Figure 4). Moreover, all the overall effect sizes from the



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram. Note. PRISMA: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses



Figure 2. Number of studies by geopolitical zones

Study	Number of successes	Total				Proportion with 95% Cl	Weight
Agaba (2017)	5 765	0 252		-		0.60 [0.69 0.70]	1.02
Agaba (2017)	0,700	0,302				0.09[0.06, 0.70]	1.03
Agbaii (2015)	7 061	12 013				0.59[0.58 0.60]	1.05
Agu (2010)	76	196	_			0.39[0.32]0.46]	1.75
Abonkhai (2016)	1 034	2 494	- 74			0.41[0.40_0.43]	1.75
Ahonkhai (2020)	1,886	2,757				0.68 [0.67, 0.70]	1.83
Ahonkhai (2015)	1,000	2 496				0 78 [0 77 0 80]	1.83
Akanbi (2013)	3 940	5 093			÷.,	077[076_079]	1.83
Alivu A. (2019)	150,191	245,257			- C	0.61 [0.61, 0.61]	1.83
Anigilaie (2018)	298	368			-	0.81 [0.77, 0.85]	1.80
Anígilájé (2014)	33	33				-1.00 [0.94, 1.00]	1.80
Asieba (2020)	1,070	1,151				0.93 [0.91, 0.94]	1.83
Avong (2018)	287	295				0.97 [0.95, 0.99]	1.83
Babatunde et al. (2015)	335	496		-	F	0.68 [0.63, 0.72]	1.80
Badejo et al. (2020)	7,928	13,527				0.59 [0.58, 0.59]	1.83
Chamla et al. (2015)	693	1,147				0.60 [0.58, 0.63]	1.82
Charurat et al. (2010)	4,266	5,760				0.74 [0.73, 0.75]	1.83
Charurat et al. (2015)	109	128				0.85 [0.79, 0.91]	1.76
Chime et al. (2019)	732	840				0.87 [0.85, 0.89]	1.82
Coker et al. (2015)	144	200		-	_	0.72 [0.66, 0.78]	1.76
Coker et al. (2015)	140	200		-	-	0.70 [0.64, 0.76]	1.76
Coker et al. (2015)	137	200			F	0.69 [0.62, 0.75]	1.76
Dakum et al. (2021)	246	251				0.98 [0.96, 1.00]	1.83
Daniel et al. (2008)	46	100				0.46 [0.36, 0.56]	1.67
Dayyab et al. (2021)	5,091	8,679				0.59 [0.58, 0.60]	1.83
Dulli et al. (2020)	112	177				0.63 [0.56, 0.70]	1.74
Dulli et al. (2020)	126	172		-	-	0.73 [0.67, 0.80]	1.75
Eguzo et al. (2015)	888	1,045				0.85 [0.83, 0.87]	1.82
Holstad et al. (2012)	28	30				0.93 [0.84, 1.00]	1.69
Holstad et al. (2012)	20	30		_		0.67 [0.50, 0.84]	1.42
Ibiloye et al. (2018)	454	710		-		0.64 [0.60, 0.67]	1.81
Idigbe et al. (2005)	45	50			_	- 0.90 [0.82, 0.98]	1.71
Katbi et al. (2019)	332	377			-	0.88 [0.85, 0.91]	1.81
Meloni et al. (2020)	379	476			-	0.80 [0.76, 0.83]	1.81
Meloni et al. (2016)	17,387	19,142				0.91 [0.90, 0.91]	1.83
Meloni et al. (2015)	1,546	3,513				0.44 [0.42, 0.46]	1.83
Meloni et al. (2014)	36,361	51,953				0.70 [0.70, 0.70]	1.83
Musa et al. (2015)	286	345			-	0.83 [0.79, 0.87]	1.80
Odafe et al. (2012)	2,513	4,785				0.53 [0.51, 0.54]	1.83
Odafe et al. (2012)	4,745	5,484				0.87 [0.86, 0.87]	1.83
Ojeniran et al. (2015)	424	660		-		0.64 [0.61, 0.68]	1.81
Ojikutu et al. (2014)	1,176	1,516				0.78 [0.75, 0.80]	1.82
Okafor et al. (2014)	183	188			_	0.97 [0.95, 1.00]	1.82
Okwuraiwe et al. (2021)	2,465	2,800			_	0.88 [0.87, 0.89]	1.83
Oladimeji et al. (2014)	21	28				0.75 [0.59, 0.91]	1.45
Onoka et al. (2012)	777	1,034	_			0.75 [0.73, 0.78]	1.82
Oyeledun et al. (2017)	117	264				0.44 [0.38, 0.50]	1.77
Oyeledun et al. (2017)	102	247			_	0.41 [0.35, 0.47]	1.76
Ramadhani et al. (2018)	136	188		_	-	0.72 [0.66, 0.79]	1.76
Rawizza et al. (2015)	20,679	31,504	_			0.66 [0.65, 0.66]	1.83
Sam-Agudu et al. (2017)	220	497	-	_		0.44 [0.40, 0.49]	1.80
Stafford et al. (2019)	2,039	3,256			_	0.63 [0.61, 0.64]	1.83
Taiwo et al. (2021)	35	40		_		- 0.88 [0.77, 0.98]	1.65
Ugbena et al. (2012)	174	283			_	0.61 [0.56, 0.67]	1.77
Ugoji et al. (2015)	2,938	3,878				0.76[0.74, 0.77]	1.83
Umeokonkwo et al. (2019)	1,025	1,270				0.81 [0.79, 0.83]	1.82
Overall						0.72 [0.67, 0.76]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.03$, I^2	= 99.87%, H	f = 799.58	3				
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(55) = 3078	82.77, p = 0.	00					
Test of θ = 0: z = 33.07, p =	0.00						
			0.40	0.60	0.80	1.00	

Random-effects REML model

Figure 3. Forest plot for all included studies

leave-one-out analysis were close to the overall effect-size, and their confidence interval lines intersected with the overall effect size. This means that there are no studies that substantially influence the results of our meta-analysis (Figure 5).

Exploratory subgroup analysis

Since heterogeneity between studies was 99.9%, we performed subgroup analysis by looking for between-study variance for such variables as age, year of publication, the geographic region in Nigeria, and participant follow-up time. Geographic region and participant follow-up time indicated a moderating effect. For age categorization, studies including adolescents and adults (n=14) had a pooled retention rate of 71%, while those including adults only (n=32) had a pooled retention rate of 72%. There were no eligible studies with only adolescents or children.



Figure 4. Funnel plot for included studies

Га	b	le	1.	Exp	lora	tory	Su	bgrc	oup.	Ana	lyses	Results
----	---	----	----	-----	------	------	----	------	------	-----	-------	---------

Concerning the geographical regions, studies from the Southeast region (n=5) had the highest pooled retention rate of 86%, while studies from the South-South region (n=3) had a pooled retention rate of 58%. For participant follow-up time, the highest pooled retention rate was among studies with a follow-up time of 6-12 months (75%; n=28), while the lowest pooled retention rate was among studies with a follow-up time of >24-48 months (65%; n=3). For the year of publication, studies published between 2011 and 2015 (n=26) had the highest pooled retention rates and sub-analyses can be found in Table 1.

Discussion

The main finding from the current study is that from the eligible studies published between 2005-2021, the pooled retention rate for PLHIV on ART in Nigeria is 72%, which is less than optimal to achieve the UNAIDS 95-95-95 goals.⁷³ Simply starting treatment does not guarantee viral suppression, and retention in care is a critical bridge between the second 95 and the third 95 of the UNAIDS goals.^{74,75} When retention is less than optimal, the benefits of ART become less attainable at the population level, and patients are at a higher risk for treatment failure, drug resistance, and death. If a considerable proportion of PLHIV on ART are not actively and continuously engaged in treatment, new HIV infections will continue to occur, further hampering the move towards zero HIV transmission.⁷⁶

Our findings align with previous studies that estimated

Category	Studies	Effect size (95% CI)	1 ²	P value	
Age group					
Adolescents and adults	14	0.71 (0.63, 0.80)	99.8%	< 0.001	
Adolescents, children, and young adults	8	0.70 (0.59, 0.82)	99.6%	< 0.001	
Adults only	32	0.72 (0.66, 0.78)	99.8%	< 0.001	
All age groups	3	0.72 (0.57, 0.87)	99.9%	< 0.001	
Geographical region					
North-Central	15	0.74 (0.65, 0.83)	99.8%	< 0.001	
North-West	7	0.67 (0.57, 0.78)	99.3%	< 0.001	
South-East	5	0.86 (0.78, 0.92)	98.0%	< 0.001	
South-South	3	0.58 (0.38, 0.79)	96.1%	< 0.001	
South-West	9	0.75 (0.64, 0.85)	98.7%	< 0.001	
Multiple states/regions	18	0.67 (0.60, 0.75)	99.9%	< 0.001	
Participant follow-up time (month)					
6-12	28	0.75 (0.69, 0.81)	99.3%	< 0.001	
>12-24	13	0.66 (0.57, 0.75)	99.9%	< 0.001	
>24-48	3	0.65 (0.39, 0.90)	100%	< 0.001	
>48	12	0.70 (0.62, 0.78)	99.8%	< 0.001	
Year of publication					
2005-2010	4	0.62 (0.39, 0.86)	98.5%	< 0.001	
2011-2015	26	0.73 (0.68, 0.79)	99.7%	< 0.001	
2016-2021	27	0.71 (0.64, 0.78)	99.9%	< 0.001	

Study	Cases Retained	Total Participan	ts			Proportio with 95%	on Cl	p-value
Agaba (2017)	5765	8352				0 72 [0 67	0 761	0 000
Agaba (2018)	8033	15650				- 0.72[0.68	0 761	0.000
Agbaii (2015)	7061	12013				0.72 [0.67.	0.761	0.000
Agu (2010)	76	196				- 0.72 [0.68	0.761	0.000
Ahonkhai (2016)	1034	2494				- 0.72 [0.68.	0.761	0.000
Ahonkhai (2020)	1886	2757				0.72 [0.67.	0.761	0.000
Ahonkhai (2015)	1958	2496	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Akanbi (2013)	3940	5093				0.71 [0.67.	0.761	0.000
Aliyu A. (2019)	150191	245257				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Anigilaje (2018)	298	368				0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Anígilájé (2014)	33	33	_			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Asieba (2020)	1070	1151	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Avong (2018)	287	295	_			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Babatunde et al. (2015)	335	496				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Badejo et al. (2020)	7928	13527			•	0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Chamla et al. (2015)	693	1147			•	0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Charurat et al. (2010)	4266	5760	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Charurat et al. (2015)	109	128	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Chime et al. (2019)	732	840	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Coker et al. (2015)	144	200				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Coker et al. (2015)	140	200				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Coker et al. (2015)	137	200				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Dakum et al. (2021)	246	251	_			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Daniel et al. (2008)	46	100			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Dayyab et al. (2021)	5091	8679			•	0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Dulli et al. (2020)	112	177				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Dulli et al. (2020)	126	172				0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Eguzo et al. (2015)	888	1045	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Holstad et al. (2012)	28	30	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Holstad et al. (2012)	20	30				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Ibiloye et al. (2018)	454	710				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
ldigbe et al. (2005)	45	50	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Katbi et al. (2019)	332	377	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Meloni et al. (2020)	379	476	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Meloni et al. (2016)	17387	19142	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Meloni et al. (2015)	1546	3513			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Meloni et al. (2014)	36361	51953				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Musa et al. (2015)	286	345	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Odafe et al. (2012)	2513	4785			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Odafe et al. (2012)	4745	5484	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Ojeniran et al. (2015)	424	660				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Ojikutu et al. (2014)	1176	1516	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Okafor et al. (2014)	183	188	-	•		0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Okwuraiwe et al. (2021)	2465	2800	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.75]	0.000
Oladimeji et al. (2014)	21	28				0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Onoka et al. (2012)	777	1034	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Oyeledun et al. (2017)	117	264			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Oyeledun et al. (2017)	102	247			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Ramadhani et al. (2018)	136	188				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Rawizza et al. (2015)	20679	31504				0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Sam-Agudu et al. (2017)	220	497			•	0.72 [0.68,	0.76]	0.000
Stafford et al. (2019)	2039	3256			•	0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Taiwo et al. (2021)	35	40	-	•		0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Ugbena et al. (2012)	174	283			•	0.72 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Ugoji et al. (2015)	2938	3878	-			0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
Umeokonkwo et al. (2019)	1025	1270				0.71 [0.67,	0.76]	0.000
			.65	.7	.75	-		

Random-effects REML model

Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis results

77%, 75%, and 75% retention rates at 6, 12, and 36 months, respectively, among adult patients on ART in Nigeria.⁷⁷ The retention rate in our study also compares with the estimated average retention rates for sub-Saharan Africa.^{77,78} Despite the benefits of ART, studies have demonstrated that retention in care among PLHIV in Nigeria is affected by many factors, including non-disclosure of status, lack of social support, cost, travel time to the health facility, and perceived stigma.⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ Improving retention will require multi-level interventions such as differentiated service delivery, education and behavior reminders, and community/peer support interventions to address these barriers.⁸²⁻⁸⁴

The current study also found differences in effect sizes when categorized by participant follow-up time, indicating that research protocols may influence retention data. Retention rates are higher within the first six months and decline over time.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁸ In our meta-analysis, the retention rate was highest in studies with 6-12 months of follow-up. Studies with >48 months also recorded high retention rates, but no specific reason was identified for this higher rate.

Retention was also lower in adolescents, children, and young adults. Compared with older PLHIV, adolescents, children, and young adults are more likely to experience factors such as stigma, discrimination, and financial barriers that limit retention in care. It is important to note that limited studies have evaluated retention interventions among adolescents, children, and young adults.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁵ Hence, effective interventions to address retention among these vulnerable groups are urgently needed. Among the eligible articles in this study, only two studies were conducted among adult men who had sex with men, and one was conducted among the elderly. There were no studies on female sex workers, and this is another gap that could be filled by future studies.

Furthermore, among the eligible studies included in this meta-analysis, there were no studies focused solely on the states within the Northeast geographical region. Although some states in the region were included in multistate studies, we were not able to disentangle the data for analysis. This points to a potential disparity in research among PLHIV in this region and should be a focus for further exploration. Regional differences in the retention rates observed in this study may reflect the health-seeking behavior and geographic access to HIV care across the regions.

Additionally, multiple thematically different definitions were identified from the 54 studies, indicating a lack of agreement on the definition of retention in care. This challenge further highlights the fact that retention in HIV care is a complex issue. Although the challenge of multiple definitions is not a new problem,⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ metrics for measuring retention have included visit consistency, missing visits, gaps in care, and visit adherence.^{11,12,89,90} The diverse definitions of retention lead to the inability to accurately compare different strategies for improving retention, thus

complicating efforts to improve retention programs and subsequent viral suppression. Therefore, we recommend that a standard definition of retention in care should be widely implemented in HIV studies. This will be crucial for ensuring that future research on retention in care can be more easily compared, and thus new interventions for increasing retention could be developed, implemented, and rigorously evaluated.

Before this study, there has been no synthesis of published data examining retention in care among PLHIV on ART in Nigeria. This review is the first to systematically review the literature and produce an estimate of the true retention in care of the population, which will be important for designing retention programs in Nigeria in the future. In addition, we have identified geopolitical zones where research is inadequate, as well as subgroups where retention is sub-optimal.

This study is subject to some limitations. We could not clearly identify the number of people retained for two studies; hence, we documented the proportion as stated by the authors, but we did not include the two papers in the meta-analysis. Secondly, since we did some extrapolation of retention numbers from the LTFU number presented by some studies, some of the extrapolations may not have been 100% accurate. However, we are confident that these extrapolations are close estimates. Third, the varying definitions of retention made it extremely difficult to categorize the papers sufficiently. Finally, the search was conducted in English language only. Despite that there are many other languages spoken in Nigeria, nearly all academic work on HIV in Nigeria is published in English. It is very unlikely that a paper about HIV in the Nigerian population will be published in any language other than English.

Conclusion

Although there has been progress in HIV case identification and enrollment in treatment, optimal retention while on ART is key to achieving viral suppression and ending the HIV epidemic. Our findings lead us to conclude that retention in care while on ART in Nigeria is 72%, with age and regional variations. This calls for targeted interventions to increase retention in care among PLHIV. Moreover, to further the research agenda on retention in care, HIV practitioners and researchers should agree on a universal definition of retention in care to ensure the standardization of study results.

Highlights

- Retention in care on antiretroviral therapy (ART) in Nigeria from 2005 to 2021 is 72%.
- Retention was highest in the Southeast region with 86% retention.
- Retention was highest between 6-12 months on ART.
- Retention improved from 2005-2010 when it was 62% to 2016-2021 when it was 71%.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Institute for Health Equity and Social Justice Research, Northeastern University, Boston, USA.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: John O. Olawepo, Echezona E. Ezeanolue.

Data curation: Philip E. Coombs, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, Shubhi Gupta, John O. Olawepo.

Formal analysis: Neha Singh, John O. Olawepo, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro.

Funding acquisition: Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, John O. Olawepo.

Investigation: John O. Olawepo, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, Shubhi Gupta, Aarushi Bhan.

Methodology: John O. Olawepo, Philip E. Coombs, Babayemi O. Olakunde, Echezona E. Ezeanolue.

Project administration: John O. Olawepo, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro.

Resources: John O. Olawepo, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro. **Software:** Philip E. Coombs.

Supervision: John O. Olawepo.

Validation: John O. Olawepo, Neha Singh, Philip E. Coombs.

Visualization: Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, Neha Singh.

Writing-original draft: Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, John O. Olawepo.

Writing-review & editing: John O. Olawepo, Katherine O'Brien, Julia Papasodoro, Philip E. Coombs, Neha Singh, Shubhi Gupta, Aarushi Bhan, Babayemi O. Olakunde, Echezona E. Ezeanolue.

Competing Interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was not needed for this study since it is a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Funding

Katherine O'Brien and Julia Papasodoro received undergraduate student funding from the PEAK Ascent Award from Northeastern University, Boston, USA.

Supplementary Files

Supplementary file 1 contains Tables S1-S3.

References

- Chopra NK, Ni H, Lim V. Past present and future status of HIV-AIDS pandemic problem in world. Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;3(1):1-6.
- UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS Statistics Fact sheet. UNAIDS; 2022. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/ fact-sheet. Accessed September 20, 2022.
- 3. UNAIDS. Nigeria. UNAIDS; 2022. Available from: https:// www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/nigeria. Accessed September 20, 2022.
- National Institutes of Health. HIV Treatment: The Basics. NIH; 2021. Available from: https://hivinfo.nih.gov/understandinghiv/fact-sheets/hiv-treatment-basics. Accessed May 28, 2022.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence of HIV Treatment and Viral Suppression in Preventing the Sexual Transmission of HIV. CDC; 2022. Available from: https://www. cdc.gov/hiv/risk/art/evidence-of-hiv-treatment.html. Accessed September 20, 2022.
- Ali JH, Yirtaw TG. Time to viral load suppression and its associated factors in cohort of patients taking antiretroviral treatment in East Shewa zone, Oromiya, Ethiopia, 2018. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):1084. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4702-z.
- 7. Ulloa AC, Puskas C, Yip B, Zhang W, Stanley C, Stone S, et

al. Retention in care and mortality trends among patients receiving comprehensive care for HIV infection: a retrospective cohort study. CMAJ Open. 2019;7(2):E236-45. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20180136.

- Teixeira da Silva DS, Luz PM, Lake JE, Cardoso SW, Ribeiro S, Moreira RI, et al. Poor retention in early care increases risk of mortality in a Brazilian HIV-infected clinical cohort. AIDS Care. 2017;29(2):263-7. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2016.1211610.
- Ahonkhai AA, Adeola J, Banigbe B, Onwuatuelo I, Adegoke AB, Bassett IV, et al. Impact of unplanned care interruption on CD4 response early after ART initiation in a Nigerian cohort. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 2017;16(1):98-104. doi: 10.1177/2325957416672010.
- 10. HIV.gov. HIV Care Continuum. HIV.gov; 2022. Available from: https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/policies-issues/hiv-aidscare-continuum. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- Font H, Rollins N, Essajee S, Becquet R, Foster G, Mangwiro AZ, et al. Retention-in-care in the PMTCT cascade: definitions matter! Analyses from the INSPIRE projects in Malawi, Nigeria and Zimbabwe. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23(10):e25609. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25609.
- 12. Roscoe C, Hachey DM. Retention in HIV Care. National HIV Curriculum; 2023. Available from: https://www.hiv.uw.edu/go/ basic-primary-care/retention-care/core-concept/all. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- 13. World Health Organization. Global HIV Programme. WHO. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/global-hivhepatitis-and-stis-programmes/hiv/treatment/service-deliveryadherence-retention. Accessed February 6, 2023.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
- Olawepo J, Espinola Coombs P, Papasodoro J, O'Brien K, Gupta S, Ezeanolue EA. A Systematic Review on Retention in Care Among People Living with HIV (PLHIV) Who Are on Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) in Nigeria. PROSPERO; 2022. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_ record.php?ID=CRD42022308484. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- Espinola Coombs P. Search Strings for "Retention in Care Among People Living with HIV in Nigeria: A Systematic Review". Northeastern University Library; 2021. Available from: https:// repository.library.northeastern.edu/files/neu:0v838204v. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- 17. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2016;5(1):210. doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4.
- Effective Public Health Practice Project. Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies. McMaster University; 2010. Available from: https://merst.healthsci.mcmaster.ca/ephpp/. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- Agaba PA, Meloni ST, Sule HM, Agbaji OO, Sagay AS, Okonkwo P, et al. Treatment outcomes among older human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults in Nigeria. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(2):ofx031. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofx031.
- Agaba PA, Genberg BL, Sagay AS, Agbaji OO, Meloni ST, Dadem NY, et al. Retention in differentiated care: multiple measures analysis for a decentralized HIV care and treatment program in North Central Nigeria. J AIDS Clin Res. 2018;9(2):756. doi: 10.4172/2155-6113.1000756.
- Agbaji OO, Abah IO, Falang KD, Ebonyi AO, Musa J, Ugoagwu P, et al. Treatment discontinuation in adult HIV-infected patients on first-line antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria. Curr HIV Res. 2015;13(3):184-92. doi: 10.2174/1570162x1303150506 181945.
- 22. Agu K, Ochei U, Oparah A, Onoh O. Treatment outcomes in patients receiving combination antiretroviral therapy in central

hospital, Benin city, Nigeria. Trop J Pharm Res. 2010;9(1):1-10. doi: 10.4314/tjpr.v9i1.52028.

- 23. Ahonkhai AA, Banigbe B, Adeola J, Onwuatuelo I, Bassett IV, Losina E, et al. High rates of unplanned interruptions from HIV care early after antiretroviral therapy initiation in Nigeria. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:397. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1137-z.
- 24. Ahonkhai AA, Banigbe B, Adeola J, Adegoke AB, Regan S, Bassett IV, et al. Age matters: increased risk of inconsistent HIV care and viremia among adolescents and young adults on antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria. J Adolesc Health. 2016;59(3):298-304. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.05.002.
- 25. Ahonkhai AA, Regan S, Idigbe I, Adeniyi O, Aliyu MH, Okonkwo P, et al. The impact of user fees on uptake of HIV services and adherence to HIV treatment: findings from a large HIV program in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2020;15(10):e0238720. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238720.
- Akanbi MO, Achenbach CJ, Feinglass J, Taiwo B, Onu A, Pho MT, et al. Tuberculosis after one year of combination antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria: a retrospective cohort study. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013;29(6):931-7. doi: 10.1089/ aid.2012.0231.
- 27. Aliyu A, El-Kamary S, Brown J, Agins B, Ndembi N, Aliyu G, et al. Performance and trend for quality of service in a large HIV/AIDS treatment program in Nigeria. AIDS Res Ther. 2019;16(1):29. doi: 10.1186/s12981-019-0242-2.
- Aliyu A, Adelekan B, Andrew N, Ekong E, Dapiap S, Murtala-Ibrahim F, et al. Predictors of loss to follow-up in art experienced patients in Nigeria: a 13-year review (2004-2017). AIDS Res Ther. 2019;16(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s12981-019-0241-3.
- Anígilájé EA, Dabit OJ, Tyovenda RK, Emebolu AJ, Agbedeh AA, Olutola A, et al. Effects of leisure activities and psychosocial support on medication adherence and clinic attendance among children on antiretroviral therapy. HIV AIDS (Auckl). 2014;6:127-37. doi: 10.2147/hiv.s64964.
- 30. Anigilaje EA, Aderibigbe SA. Mortality in a cohort of HIVinfected children: a 12-month outcome of antiretroviral therapy in Makurdi, Nigeria. Adv Med. 2018;2018:6409134. doi: 10.1155/2018/6409134.
- Asieba IO, Oqua DA, Wutoh AA, Agu KA, Omeh OI, Adeyanju ZA, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in community pharmacies -Implementation and outcomes of a differentiated drug delivery model in Nigeria. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2021;17(5):842-9. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2020.06.025.
- 32. Avong YK, Aliyu GG, Jatau B, Gurumnaan R, Danat N, Kayode GA, et al. Integrating community pharmacy into community based anti-retroviral therapy program: a pilot implementation in Abuja, Nigeria. PLoS One. 2018;13(1):e0190286. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190286.
- Babatunde O, Ojo OJ, Atoyebi OA, Ekpo DS, Ogundana AO, Olaniyan TO, et al. Seven-year review of retention in HIV care and treatment in federal medical centre Ido-Ekiti. Pan Afr Med J. 2015;22:139. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2015.22.139.4981.
- Badejo O, Noestlinger C, Jolayemi T, Adeola J, Okonkwo P, Van Belle S, et al. Multilevel modelling and multiple group analysis of disparities in continuity of care and viral suppression among adolescents and youths living with HIV in Nigeria. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5(11):e003269. doi: 10.1136/ bmjgh-2020-003269.
- 35. Chamla DD, Asadu C, Davies A, de Wagt A, Ilesanmi O, Adeyinka D, et al. Patching the gaps towards the 90-90-90 targets: outcomes of Nigerian children receiving antiretroviral treatment who are co-infected with tuberculosis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2015;18(Suppl 6):20251. doi: 10.7448/ias.18.7.20251.
- 36. Charurat M, Oyegunle M, Benjamin R, Habib A, Eze E, Ele P, et al. Patient retention and adherence to antiretrovirals in a large antiretroviral therapy program in Nigeria: a longitudinal analysis for risk factors. PLoS One. 2010;5(5):e10584. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010584.

- 37. Charurat ME, Emmanuel B, Akolo C, Keshinro B, Nowak RG, Kennedy S, et al. Uptake of treatment as prevention for HIV and continuum of care among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Nigeria. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;68(Suppl 2):S114-23. doi: 10.1097/qai.00000000000439.
- Chime OH, Ndibuagu EO, Orji CJ. Rates and predictors of adherence and retention for antiretroviral therapy among HIV-positive adults in Enugu, Nigeria. Malawi Med J. 2019;31(3):202-11. doi: 10.4314/mmj.v31i3.7.
- 39. Coker M, Etiebet MA, Chang H, Awwal G, Jumare J, Musa BM, et al. Socio-demographic and adherence factors associated with viral load suppression in HIV-infected adults initiating therapy in northern Nigeria: a randomized controlled trial of a peer support intervention. Curr HIV Res. 2015;13(4):279-85. doi: 10.2174/1570162x13666150407143838.
- Dakum P, Avong YK, Odutola MK, Okuma J, Kayode GA, Nta IE, et al. Cohort profile: the Nigerian HIV geriatric cohort study. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1797. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09833-9.
- 41. Dakum P, Ajav-Nyior J, Attah TA, Kayode GA, Gomwalk A, Omuh H, et al. Effect of community antiretroviral therapy on treatment outcomes among stable antiretroviral therapy patients in Nigeria: a quasi-experimental study. PLoS One. 2021;16(4):e0250345. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250345.
- Dalhatu I, Onotu D, Odafe S, Abiri O, Debem H, Agolory S, et al. Outcomes of Nigeria's HIV/AIDS treatment program for patients initiated on antiretroviral treatment between 2004-2012. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0165528. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0165528.
- Daniel OJ, Oladapo OT, Ogundahunsi OA, Ogundahunsi S, Ogun SA, Odusoga OA. Default from anti-retroviral treatment programme in Sagamu, Nigeria. Afr J Biomed Res. 2008;11(2):221-4. doi: 10.4314/ajbr.v11i2.50715.
- Dayyab FM, Mukhtar F, Iliyasu G, Habib AG. Determinants of loss to follow-up among people living with HIV on antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria. Afr J AIDS Res. 2021;20(1):93-9. doi: 10.2989/16085906.2021.1874444.
- Dulli L, Ridgeway K, Packer C, Murray KR, Mumuni T, Plourde KF, et al. A social media-based support group for youth living with HIV in Nigeria (SMART Connections): randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(6):e18343. doi: 10.2196/18343.
- Eguzo KN, Lawal AK, Umezurike CC, Eseigbe CE. Predictors of loss to follow-up among HIV-infected patients in a rural southeastern Nigeria hospital: a 5-year retrospective cohort study. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2015;5(6):373-8. doi: 10.4103/2141-9248.177988.
- 47. Holstad MM, Essien JE, Ekong E, Higgins M, Teplinskiy I, Adewuyi MF. Motivational groups support adherence to antiretroviral therapy and use of risk reduction behaviors in HIV positive Nigerian women: a pilot study. Afr J Reprod Health. 2012;16(3):14-27.
- 48. Ibiloye O, Decroo T, Eyona N, Eze P, Agada P. Characteristics and early clinical outcomes of key populations attending comprehensive community-based HIV care: experiences from Nasarawa state, Nigeria. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):e0209477. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0209477.
- Idigbe EO, Adewole TA, Eisen G, Kanki P, Odunukwe NN, Onwujekwe DI, et al. Management of HIV-1 infection with a combination of nevirapine, stavudine, and lamivudine: a preliminary report on the Nigerian antiretroviral program. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2005;40(1):65-9. doi: 10.1097/01.qai.0000159516.39982.1b.
- 50. Katbi M, Adegboye AA, Bello M, Gumel AG, Adedoyin A, Yunusa F, et al. Effect of community treatment initiative on antiretroviral therapy uptake among linkage-resistant people living with HIV in northern Nigeria. Int J Infect Dis. 2019;87:185-92. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2019.08.014.

- 51. Meloni ST, Chang C, Chaplin B, Rawizza H, Jolayemi O, Banigbe B, et al. Time-dependent predictors of loss to followup in a large HIV treatment cohort in Nigeria. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2014;1(2):ofu055. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofu055.
- 52. Meloni ST, Chaplin B, Chang C, Rawizza H, Okonkwo P, Kanki PJ. Patterns of adherence and loss to follow-up in pediatric patients on ART in Nigeria. Curr HIV Res. 2015;13(3):210-8. doi: 10.2174/1570162x1303150506183921.
- 53. Meloni ST, Chang CA, Eisen G, Jolayemi T, Banigbe B, Okonkwo PI, et al. Long-term outcomes on antiretroviral therapy in a large scale-up program in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0164030. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164030.
- 54. Meloni ST, Agaba P, Chang CA, Yiltok E, Oguche S, Ejeliogu E, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of adherence, retention, and transition patterns of adolescents living with HIV in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2020;15(7):e0236801. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0236801.
- 55. Musa BM, Coker M, Bussell S, Aliyu M, Babashani M, Muhammad H, et al. Long-term outcomes of antiretroviral therapy in an adult HIV program: a 10-year retrospective cohort study in Kano, Nigeria. Ann Saudi Med. 2015;35(4):303-11. doi: 10.5144/0256-4947.2015.303.
- 56. Odafe S, Idoko O, Badru T, Aiyenigba B, Suzuki C, Khamofu H, et al. Patients' demographic and clinical characteristics and level of care associated with lost to follow-up and mortality in adult patients on first-line ART in Nigerian hospitals. J Int AIDS Soc. 2012;15(2):17424. doi: 10.7448/ias.15.2.17424.
- 57. Odafe S, Torpey K, Khamofu H, Ogbanufe O, Oladele EA, Kuti O, et al. The pattern of attrition from an antiretroviral treatment program in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e51254. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051254.
- Ojeniran MA, Emokpae A, Mabogunje C, Akintan P, Hoshen M, Weiss R. How are children with HIV faring in Nigeria? A 7-year retrospective study of children enrolled in HIV care. BMC Pediatr. 2015;15:87. doi: 10.1186/s12887-015-0405-9.
- 59. Ojikutu B, Higgins-Biddle M, Greeson D, Phelps BR, Amzel A, Okechukwu E, et al. The association between quality of HIV care, loss to follow-up and mortality in pediatric and adolescent patients receiving antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2014;9(7):e100039. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0100039.
- Okafor I, Ugwu E, Obi S, Odugu B. Virtual elimination of mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in mothers on highly active antiretroviral therapy in Enugu, south-eastern Nigeria. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2014;4(4):615-8. doi: 10.4103/2141-9248.139344.
- 61. Okwuraiwe AP, Audu RA, Ige FA, Salu OB, Onwuamah CK, Musa AZ. Long term outcomes of highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV infected Nigerians and those co-infected with hepatitis B and C viruses. Afr J Clin Exp Microbiol. 2021;22(1):67-73. doi: 10.4314/ajcem.v22i1.9.
- 62. Oladimeji O, Isaakidis P, Obasanya OJ, Eltayeb O, Khogali M, Van den Bergh R, et al. Intensive-phase treatment outcomes among hospitalized multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients: results from a nationwide cohort in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e94393. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094393
- Onoka CA, Uzochukwu BS, Onwujekwe OE, Chukwuka C, Ilozumba J, Onyedum C, et al. Retention and loss to followup in antiretroviral treatment programmes in southeast Nigeria. Pathog Glob Health. 2012;106(1):46-54. doi: 10.1179/2047773211y.0000000018.
- 64. Oyeledun B, Phillips A, Oronsaye F, Alo OD, Shaffer N, Osibo B, et al. The effect of a continuous quality improvement intervention on retention-in-care at 6 months postpartum in a PMTCT program in northern Nigeria: results of a cluster randomized controlled study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;75 Suppl 2:S156-64. doi: 10.1097/ qai.00000000001363.
- 65. Ramadhani HO, Ndembi N, Nowak RG, Ononaku U, Gwamna

J, Orazulike I, et al. Individual and network factors associated with HIV care continuum outcomes among Nigerian MSM accessing health care services. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2018;79(1):e7-16. doi: 10.1097/qai.000000000001756.

- 66. Rawizza HE, Chang CA, Chaplin B, Ahmed IA, Meloni ST, Oyebode T, et al. Loss to follow-up within the prevention of mother-to-child transmission care cascade in a large ART program in Nigeria. Curr HIV Res. 2015;13(3):201-9. doi: 10. 2174/1570162x1303150506183256.
- 67. Sam-Agudu NA, Ramadhani HO, Isah C, Anaba U, Erekaha S, Fan-Osuala C, et al. The impact of structured mentor mother programs on 6-month postpartum retention and viral suppression among HIV-positive women in rural Nigeria: a prospective paired cohort study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;75 Suppl 2:S173-81. doi: 10.1097/ qai.00000000001346.
- Stafford KA, Odafe SF, Lo J, Ibrahim R, Ehoche A, Niyang M, et al. Evaluation of the clinical outcomes of the test and treat strategy to implement treat all in Nigeria: results from the Nigeria Multi-Center ART Study. PLoS One. 2019;14(7):e0218555. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218555.
- 69. Taiwo BO, Kuti KM, Kuhns LM, Omigbodun O, Awolude O, Adetunji A, et al. Effect of text messaging plus peer navigation on viral suppression among youth with HIV in the iCARE Nigeria pilot study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2021;87(4):1086-92. doi: 10.1097/qai.0000000002694.
- Ugbena R, Aberle-Grasse J, Diallo K, Bassey O, Jelpe T, Rottinghaus E, et al. Virological response and HIV drug resistance 12 months after antiretroviral therapy initiation at 2 clinics in Nigeria. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54 Suppl 4:S375-80. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir1064.
- Ugoji C, Okere N, Dakum P, Ake-Uzoigwe R, Igboelina D, Ndembi N, et al. Correlates of patient retention in HIV care and treatment programs in Nigeria. Curr HIV Res. 2015;13(4):300-7. doi: 10.2174/1570162x13999150317155348.
- Umeokonkwo CD, Onoka CA, Agu PA, Ossai EN, Balogun MS, Ogbonnaya LU. Retention in care and adherence to HIV and AIDS treatment in Anambra State Nigeria. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):654. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4293-8.
- 73. UNAIDS. Understanding Fast-Track: Accelerating Action to End the AIDS Epidemic by 2030. UNAIDS; 2015. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/ documents/2015/201506_JC2743_Understanding_FastTrack. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- Gillies K, Kearney A, Keenan C, Treweek S, Hudson J, Brueton VC, et al. Strategies to improve retention in randomised trials. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;3(3):MR000032. doi: 10.1002/14651858.MR000032.pub3.
- Higa DH, Crepaz N, Mullins MM. Identifying best practices for increasing linkage to, retention, and re-engagement in HIV medical care: findings from a systematic review, 1996-2014. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(5):951-66. doi: 10.1007/s10461-015-1204-x.
- Ehrenkranz P, Rosen S, Boulle A, Eaton JW, Ford N, Fox MP, et al. The revolving door of HIV care: revising the service delivery cascade to achieve the UNAIDS 95-95-95 goals. PLoS Med. 2021;18(5):e1003651. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003651.
- Fox MP, Rosen S. Retention of adult patients on antiretroviral therapy in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review and meta-analysis 2008-2013. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;69(1):98-108. doi: 10.1097/qai.00000000000553.
- Fox MP, Rosen S. Patient retention in antiretroviral therapy programs up to three years on treatment in sub-Saharan Africa, 2007-2009: systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2010;15 Suppl 1:1-15. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02508.x.
- 79. Odediran OO, Odukoya OO, Balogun MR, Colasanti JA, Akanmu AS. A qualitative study exploring factors associated with retention in HIV care among women with HIV in a

large HIV clinic in Lagos, Nigeria, after Implementing the test and treat policy. AIDS Res Treat. 2022;2022:9074844. doi: 10.1155/2022/9074844.

- Ware NC, Wyatt MA, Geng EH, Kaaya SF, Agbaji OO, Muyindike WR, et al. Toward an understanding of disengagement from HIV treatment and care in sub-Saharan Africa: a qualitative study. PLoS Med. 2013;10(1):e1001369. doi: 10.1371/journal. pmed.1001369.
- 81. Tebu O, Tobin-West C. Barriers and facilitators of retention in HIV care among patients attending antiretroviral clinic in UPTH: a qualitative study. J Epidemiol Soc Niger. 2021;1:10-1. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6555774.
- Pugh LE, Roberts JS, Viswasam N, Hahn E, Ryan S, Turpin G, et al. Systematic review of interventions aimed at improving HIV adherence to care in low- and middle-income countries in sub-Saharan Africa. J Infect Public Health. 2022;15(10):1053-60. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2022.08.012.
- Muhula S, Gachohi J, Kombe Y, Karanja S. Interventions to improve early retention of patients in antiretroviral therapy programmes in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2022;17(2):e0263663. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0263663.
- 84. Murray KR, Dulli LS, Ridgeway K, Dal Santo L, Darrow de Mora D, Olsen P, et al. Improving retention in HIV care among adolescents and adults in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review of the literature. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0184879. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184879.
- 85. Casale M, Carlqvist A, Cluver L. Recent interventions to improve retention in HIV care and adherence to antiretroviral

treatment among adolescents and youth: a systematic review. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2019;33(6):237-52. doi: 10.1089/ apc.2018.0320.

- Wheatley MM, Knowlton GS, Butler M, Enns EA. Costeffectiveness of HIV retention and re-engagement interventions in high-income countries: a systematic literature review. AIDS Behav. 2022;26(7):2159-68. doi: 10.1007/s10461-021-03561-w.
- Mayasi N, Situakibanza H, Mbula M, Longokolo M, Maes N, Bepouka B, et al. Retention in care and predictors of attrition among HIV-infected patients who started antiretroviral therapy in Kinshasa, DRC, before and after the implementation of the 'treat-all' strategy. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2022;2(3):e0000259. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0000259.
- Mugenyi L, Nanfuka M, Byawaka J, Agaba C, Mijumbi A, Kagimu D, et al. Effect of universal test and treat on retention and mortality among people living with HIV-infection in Uganda: an interrupted time series analysis. PLoS One. 2022;17(5):e0268226. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0268226.
- Muwanguzi M, Lugobe HM, Ssemwanga E, Lule AP, Atwiine E, Kirabira V, et al. Retention in HIV care and associated factors among youths aged 15-24 years in rural southwestern Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1489. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11547-5.
- Afolaranmi TO, Hassan ZI, Ugwu OJ, Ofakunrin AOD, Bello KK, Chingle MP, et al. Retention in HIV care and its predictors among HIV-infected men who have sex with men in Plateau state, North Central Nigeria. J Family Med Prim Care. 2021;10(4):1596-601. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1748_20.