

# JRHS Journal of Research in Health Sciences

doi:10.34172/jrhs.2024.166 JRHS 2024; 24(4):e00631



# **Original Article**

# Association of *ERCC1* Gene Polymorphisms (rs3212986 and rs11615) With the Risk of Lung Cancer in a Population From Southeast Iran

Ali Khalouei (MSc)<sup>1</sup>, Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani (PhD)<sup>2</sup>, Abdollah Jafarzaheh (PhD)<sup>3</sup>, Behjat Kalantari Khandani (MD)<sup>4</sup>, Farnaz Sedghy (PhD)<sup>3</sup>, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi (MSc)<sup>5</sup>, Mohammad Mehdi Yaghoobi (PhD)<sup>6</sup>, Mohammadreza Zangouey (MSc)<sup>5</sup>, Beydolah Shahouzehi (PhD)<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physiology Research Center, Institute of Neuropharmacology, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran <sup>2</sup>Student Research Committee, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, Medical School, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran <sup>5</sup>Department of Immunology, Afzalipour Faculty of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Research Department of Biotechnology, Institute of Science and High Technology and Environmental Sciences, Graduate University of Advanced Technology, Kerman, Iran

<sup>7</sup>Cardiovascular Research Center, Basic and Clinical Physiology Sciences, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

#### Article history:

Received: April 22, 2024 Revised: June 11, 2024 Accepted: August 23, 2024 ePublished: September 30, 2024

#### **Keywords:**

Lung cancer, Chemotherapy, ERCC1 gene, Polymorphism, PCR-RFLP, Pharmacogenetic studies

\*Corresponding author: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani, Email: ymab125@gmail.com



### Abstract

**Background:** Polymorphisms within the excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (*ERCC1*), an essential component of DNA repair mechanisms, have been associated with various malignancies. This study aimed to evaluate the association of the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs3212986 and rs11615 within the *ERCC1* gene in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients.

Study Design: A case-control study.

**Methods:** Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood samples of 83 NSCLC patients and 119 healthy individuals. The genetic diversity of SNPs rs3212986 and rs11615 was determined using the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. The RFLP results were confirmed through sequencing.

**Results:** The TT genotype of the rs11615 SNP was associated with a higher risk of NSCLC development (odds ratio: 3.900, 95% confidence interval: 0.603, 22.866, P=0.050). Furthermore, the AA genotype of rs3212986 was related to a higher risk of NSCLC development (OR: 2.531, 95% CI: 1.017, 6.300, P=0.046). A significant association was observed between smoking and lung cancer (OR: 3.072, 95% CI: 1.715, 5.503, P<0.001). Moreover, among non-smokers, there was an association between lung cancer risk and the AA (OR: 6.825, 95% CI: 1.722, 27.044, P=0.006) and AC (OR: 2.503, 95% CI: 0.977, 6.412, P=0.056) genotypes of rs3212986. However, no correlation was found between the genotypes of these SNPs and patients' sensitivity to cisplatin and carboplatin (P > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** The rs11615-related TT genotype and the rs3212986-related AA genotype may be associated with a higher risk of lung cancer development.

Please cite this article as follows: Khalouei A, Masoumi-Ardakani Y, Jafarzaheh A, Kalantari Khandani B, Sedghy F, Khosravi Mashizi A, et al. Association of *ERCC1* gene polymorphisms (rs3212986 and rs11615) with the risk of lung cancer in a population from Southeast Iran. J Res Health Sci. 2024; 24(4):e00631. doi:10.34172/jrhs.2024.166

# Background

Lung cancer remains one of the most prevalent cancers and the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide.<sup>1</sup> The incidence of lung cancer is increasing, especially in developing countries. In 2018, approximately 2.09 million new cases of lung cancer were reported, resulting in around 1.76 million deaths.<sup>2</sup> Lung cancer is primarily classified into non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung types, accounting for approximately 85.0% and 15.0% of all malignant lung cancer cases, respectively.<sup>3</sup> NSCLC cases are diagnosed in approximately twothirds of patients' primary at advanced stages (stage III or IV), with a 5-year survival rate of less than 15% due to lower response rates to standard treatment options.<sup>4</sup>

© 2024 The Author(s); Published by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Common treatments for lung cancer include surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. However, considering that NSCLC is frequently diagnosed late, platinum-based chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy is often the only option for most patients.<sup>5</sup> Inter-individual diversity in response to medication was observed in NSCLC patients with the same disease stage and treatment regimen, indicating that genetic factors may significantly influence treatment efficacy.<sup>6</sup>

Chemotherapy using platinum compounds induces DNA damage by generating DNA-platinum adducts that activate the cell's DNA repair machinery.<sup>7,8</sup> The main mechanisms to protect DNA from mutagens and repair damage caused by various endogenous and exogenous agents include nucleotide excision repair, double-strand break repair, and base excision repair. Importantly, the excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (*ERCC1*) gene encodes a specific endonuclease within the nucleotide excision repair system that catalyzes the DNA strand breaks at the 5' end of the damaged site. DAN double-strand breaks and cross-linking damages are also repaired by the *ERCC1*-encoded endonuclease.<sup>4,9,10</sup>

Genetic mutations within DNA repair genes can alter the expression, structure, and function of associated proteins, serving as important prognostic indicators for malignancies.1 Several studies suggest that the presence of certain functional polymorphisms within the ERCC1 gene may decrease DNA repair capabilities, thereby increasing the risk of various malignancies.<sup>11-14</sup> Several other studies have also pointed to an association between ERCC1 variants and clinical outcomes in NSCLC patients.<sup>15,16</sup> Regarding the carcinogenic potential of ERCC1 polymorphisms, these changes might serve as useful prognostic biomarkers in lung cancer. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the associations between polymorphisms of the ERCC1 gene and lung cancer in Iranian populations. Therefore, the current study has focused on the association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs3212986 and rs11615 within the ERCC1 gene with NSCLC in a southeastern Iranian population.

# Methods

# Study subjects

In this case-control study, the sample size was determined by G\*Power software, version 3.1.9.2. Considering the alpha error of 5%, test power of 80%, effect size of 0.218, and the degree of freedom of 2, a sample size of 204 was selected for this research.<sup>17,18</sup> This study involved 83 NSCLC patients and 119 healthy subjects. NSCLC patients were recruited among those referred to the Javad Al-Aeme Clinic of Kerman University of Medical Sciences from May 2020 to September 2021. NSCLC was diagnosed by a respiratory specialist based on lung examination. Patient inclusion criteria were histological or cytological confirmed diagnosis of NSCLC. The healthy individuals were selected from blood donors at a regional blood transfusion center in Kerman, and none had a history of respiratory disease or other related disorders. Based on the data in Table 1, there was no significant difference between the demographic features of the study groups. Approval for the study protocol was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Kerman University of Medical Sciences (code IR.KMU.REC.1399.072). Furthermore, all participants were recruited after signing a written informed consent form. Peripheral blood samples (5 mL) were collected from each participant to determine the polymorphisms.

## Chemotherapy regimen

All patients were treated with cisplatin- or carboplatinbased chemotherapy every 3 weeks. After a median follow-up of 15 months, responses to treatment were determined and defined as NSCLC patients with complete response (CR) and partial response (PR) to chemotherapy. No-responders were defined as stable disease (SD) and progressive disease (PD).

## **Genomic DNA extraction**

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood using a salting-out technique. Spectrophotometry (Eppendorf, Germany) was used to determine DNA quantities and purity according to the measurements of the absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm. Extracted DNA samples were stored at -20°C until use.

Table 1.	Demographic and	clinicopathological	characteristics	for malignant
patients				

	Control	(n=119)	Case (I		
Characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	<i>P</i> value
Gender					
Men	83	69.74	64	77.10	0.354
Women	36	30.25	19	22.89	
Smoking status					0.001
Smokers	38	31.93	49	59.03	
Non-smokers	81	68.06	34	40.96	
Tumor stage					
I	-		0	0	
II	-		0	0	
111	-		26	31.32	
IV	-		57	68.67	
Histology					
Adenocarcinoma	-		52	62.65	
Squamous cell carcinoma	-		29	31.32	
Large cell carcinoma	-		2	2.40	
Response to chemotherapy					
Complete response + partial response	-		16	40	
Stable disease + progressive disease	-		24	60	

# Genotyping assay

The SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 in ERCC1 were determined by the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. The PCR was performed as 1 µL of prepared DNA, 3 µL of the PCR buffer (10x), 0.3 µL of the Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/mM), 1.5 µL of MgCl2 (stock concentration 1.5 mM), 0.5 µL dNTPs (stock concentration of 10 mM), 2  $\mu$ L of each primer (300 nmol), and distilled water up to 30 μL. The forward (5'-GGTGCAAGAAGAGGTGGAG-3' 5'-AAGAAGCAGAGTCAGGAAAGC-3') and and (5'-TCAGATCCCCAGGAGTCC-3' reverse and 5'-ACCCCACTCTAGATTTACCCAG-3) primers were used to amplify the regions around the SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986, respectively. The amplification program was designed as an initial denaturation step (95 °C for 5 minutes), followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 15 seconds), annealing (60 °C for 25 seconds), and extension (72°C for 30 seconds). Additionally, a final extension step was performed (72 °C for 10 minutes) to complete the PCR.

The amplified PCR products contain SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 with lengths of 471 bp and 442 bp, respectively. Subsequently, PCR products (5 µL) related to SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 were digested overnight (37 °C) in the presence of 0.75 unit/reaction of BsrDI and MBOII restriction endonuclease, respectively. Considering that the BsrDI enzyme has exclusively one restriction site in the SNP rs11615-related PCR product, thus the CC genotype has two DNA fragments of 184 bp and 319 bp, whereas the AA genotype has a single undigested DNA fragment (503 bp), and the heterozygous CA genotype has three DNA fragments (503 bp, 319 bp, and 184 bp). The MBOII enzyme has only one restriction site in the PCR product associated with the rs3212986 SNP; thus, the CC genotype has two DNA fragments of 184 bp and 319 bp. However, the AA genotype has a single undigested DNA fragment (503 bp), and the heterozygous CA genotype has three DNA fragments (503 bp, 319 bp, and 184 bp). After adding 2 µL of DNA safe stain (Kowsar Biotech Company, Iran) to enzyme-treated products, they were electrophoresed on a 3% agarose gel and evaluated with Chemi-Doc model XRS (Bio-Rad, USA; Figure 1). Finally,



**Figure 1.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of amplified PCR product of *ERCC1* rs11615 (a) and *ERCC1* rs321986 (b). Columns: (1) Blank, (2) Uncut PCR product, (3) Ladder, (4) TT (a) and AA (b) Genotype, (5) TC (a) and AC (b) Genotype, and (6) CC genotype. *Note*. PCR: Polymerase chain reaction.

the sequencing was performed on 22 samples for each SNP to confirm the RFLP results. Partial DNA sequence results for SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 are shown in Figure 2.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed by statistical SPSS software (version 26, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences in variables were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tests, including logistic regression, independent sample *t*-test, and the chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ). *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# Results

# **Patient characteristics**

The clinicopathological characteristics of the malignant patients are provided in Table 1. The study was performed on 83 advanced NSCLC patients, including 64 men and 19 women, with a mean ( $\pm$ standard deviation) age of 56.26 ( $\pm$ 1.48) years. Of the patients, 26 were at stage III, and 57 were at stage IV. Forty-nine of all NSCLC patients were smokers. Of the 40 patients evaluated for the treatment response, 24 (60%) showed SD+PD (non-responsive state) to chemotherapy, of whom 10 (25%) died (PD). Among 16 (40%) responders (CR+PR), 3 (7.5%) had a CR.

The control group included 119 normal or healthy people (83 men and 36 women, with a mean [ $\pm$ SD] age of 54.87 [ $\pm$ 1.18] years). The age was not significantly different between case and control groups (P=0.463).

# Genetic variation of ERCC1 rs11615 single-nucleotide polymorphism

The genotypes and allele frequencies of the *ERCC1*related rs11615 SNP in malignant and control groups are presented in Table 2. The frequencies of CC, TC, and



Figure 2. Partial DNA sequence results regarding SNPs rs11615 (a) and rs3212986 (b). *Note*. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism

SNP rs11615 —	Lung Cancer		Healthy Group		0 value		Dualua
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	<i>P</i> value	OK (95 % CI)	r value
Genotypes					0.031		
CC	30	36.14	39	32.77		Ref.	
TC	44	53.02	77	64.70		0.742 (0.406, 1.358)	0.334
TT	9	10.84	3	2.52		3.900 (0.603, 22.866)	0.055
Alleles					0.750		
С	104	62.65	155	65.12		Ref.	
Т	62	37.35	83	34.87		1.113 (0.737, 1.681)	0.610

Note. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

TT genotypes at the rs11615 of the *ERCC1* gene were 36.14%, 53.01%, and 10.840% in lung cancer patients, as well as 32.77%, 64.70%, and 2.52% in the control group. A significant difference was found between lung cancer patients and controls in the frequency of the CC, TC, and TT genotypes at the rs11615 SNP (P=0.031). The difference in the frequency of T and C alleles between the malignant patients and controls was not significant. Considering the CC genotype as a criterion, it was found that the TT genotype was associated with a higher risk of lung cancer development (odds ratio: 3.9, 95% confidence interval: 0.603, 22.866; P=0.055, Table 2).

# *Genetic variation of ERCC1 rs3212986 single-nucleotide polymorphism*

The genotypes and allele frequencies of the *ERCC1*-related rs3212986 SNP in malignant and control groups are listed in Table 3. The frequencies of CC, AC, and CC genotypes at the rs3212986 of the *ERCC1* gene were 38.55%, 43.37%, and 60.24% in lung cancer patients, as well as 45.37%, 46.21%, and 8.40% in the control group. Differences in the frequencies of CC, AC, and CC genotypes between the malignant and control groups were not significant. Similarly, there was no significant difference in allele A and allele C frequencies between the malignant and control groups as a reference, it was revealed that the AA genotype was associated with a higher risk of lung cancer development (OR: 2.531, 95% CI: 1.017, 6.300; P=0.046, Table 3).

# Genetic variation of ERCC1 single-nucleotide polymorphisms and smoking

A significant association was observed between smoking and lung cancer (OR: 3.072, 95% CI: 1.715, 5.503; P < 0.001). The relationship between genotype and the risk of lung cancer was further analyzed in the malignant and healthy control groups based on smoking status, and there were no significant differences in this regard (Table 4). Based on the results, there was an association between the risk of lung cancer and AA (OR: 6.825, 95% CI: 1.722, 27.044; P = 0.006) and AC (OR: 2.503, 95% CI: 0.977, 6.412; P = 0.056) genotypes of the non-smoking group (Table 4).

# Genetic variation of ERCC1 single-nucleotide polymorphisms and sensitivity to cisplatin and carboplatin

The frequencies of the genotypes of *ERCC1*-related SNPs (rs11615 and rs3212986) based on sensitivity to cisplatin and carboplatin are presented in Table 5. No correlation with the genotypes was found in these SNPs and sensitivity to cisplatin and carboplatin (P=0.520 and P=0.458, respectively) in malignant patients.

# Discussion

ERCC1 plays a fundamental role in DNA repair, and over 100 polymorphisms have been identified in the ERCC1 gene that can influence the structure and function of the encoded protein.<sup>19</sup> In this case-control study, the rs11615-related TT genotype and the s3212986-related AA genotype of the ERCC1 gene were associated with a higher risk of lung cancer development in Iranian patients, with advanced NSCLC cases who have been treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Furthermore, the relationship between the rs11615 SNP genotype and lung cancer risk was analyzed based on smoking status, revealing an association between lung cancer risk and AA and AC genotypes in non-smokers. Previous studies reported a correlation between ERCC1 polymorphisms and NSCLC susceptibility, which supports our results. For instance, Yu et al showed that NSCLC patients with the rs3212986 AA genotype in the ERCC1 gene have an increased risk of lung cancer, particularly among smokers.<sup>20</sup> Gao also demonstrated that ERCC1 genotype rs11615 TT and genotype rs3212986 AA contribute to increased mortality risk from NSCLC. Additionally, a study involving Chinese patients indicated that the presence of the AA genotype at ERCC1 rs3213986 was associated with an increased risk of lung cancer, particularly in smokers.<sup>21</sup> Other studies have suggested the association between various ERCC1 genotypes and the risk of lung cancer. Chaszczewska-Markowska et al confirmed the role of the rs11615 T allele and the rs3212986 GG genotype in NSCLC susceptibility.<sup>1</sup> Likewise, two additional studies highlighted the predictive significance of the ERCC1 rs3212986 polymorphism in NSCLC patients.<sup>19,22</sup>

There is also evidence that certain SNPs within the *ERCC1* gene can lead to decreased levels of *ERCC1* mRNA

Table 3. Frequency of genotypes and alleles at SNP rs3212986 in the ERCC1 gene in malignant patients and healthy control group

END #2212006	Lung Cancer		Healthy Group		Dvalua		0 l
SINF 153212900	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	<i>P</i> value	OK (95 % CI)	r value
Genotypes					0.116		
CC	32	38.56	54	45.37		Ref.	
AC	36	43.37	55	46.22		1.105 (0.602, 2.026)	0.748
AA	15	18.07	10	8.41		2.531 (1.017, 6.300)	0.046
Alleles					0.087		
С	100	60.25	163	68.48		Ref.	
А	66	39.75	75	31.52		0.697 (0.461, 1.055)	0.088

Note. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

Table 4. Frequency of Genotypes at SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 in the ERCC1 Gene in Malignant Patients and Healthy Control Group Based on Smoking Status

<u> </u>			Smokers		Non-smokers				
Genotypes	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)	P value	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)	P value	
rs11615									
TT	5	1	4.062 (0.420, 39.257)	0.226	4	2	3.714 (0.603, 22.866)	0.157	
TC	28	24	0.948 (0.381, 2.361)	0.909	16	53	0.561 (0.238, 1.321)	0.186	
CC	16	13	Ref.		14	26	Ref.		
rs3212986									
AA	8	5	1.001 (0.275, 3.634)	0.999	7	5	6.825 (1.722, 27.044)	0.006	
AC	17	18	0.590 (0.234, 1.489)	0.264	19	37	2.503 (0.977, 6.412)	0.056	
CC	24	15	Ref.		8	39	Ref.		

Note. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

Table 5. Frequency of genotypes at SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 in the ERCC1 gene in malignant patients based on sensitivity to cisplatin and carboplatin

	Total Francisco as	Responders	Non-responders		0
	Iotal Frequency	PR+CR SD+PD		- OK (95% CI)	P value
Genotype (rs11615)					
Cisplatin					
TT	2	1	1	1.001 (0.041, 24.547)	0.999
TC	19	6	13	2.166 (0.334, 14.057)	0.418
CC	6	3	3	Ref.	
Carboplatin					
TT	3	2	1	0.375 (0.022, 6.348)	0.497
TC	3	1	2	1.500 (0.089, 25.392)	0.779
CC	7	3	4	Ref.	
Genotype (rs3212986)					
Cisplatin					
AA	5	3	2	0.667 (0.069, 6.409)	0.725
AC	14	3	11	3.667 (0.5557, 24.132)	0.177
CC	8	4	4	Ref.	
Carboplatin					
AA	4	1	3	3.000 (0.188, 47.963)	0.437
AC	3	2	1	0.500 (0.028, 8.952)	0.638
CC	6	3	3	Ref.	

Note. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio. CR: Complete response; PR: Partial response; SD: Stable disease; PD: Progressive disease.

and protein, which potentially impair cellular repair ability and ultimately promote cancer development.<sup>23-26</sup> Moreover, several studies have confirmed a relationship between *ERCC1* gene polymorphisms and NSCLC treatment efficacy.<sup>10,11,15,27,28</sup> The results of a study in Chinese patients revealed that individuals with the

rs11615 TT genotype and the T allele or the rs3212986 AA genotype and the A allele had significantly lower response rates to treatment. As a result, these SNPs could serve as predictors of treatment response and may be associated with increased mortality in patients with advanced NSCLC.<sup>21</sup> It has been suggested that rs11615 (*ERCC1*) and rs3738948 (ERCC3) can influence the efficacy of platinumbased chemotherapy in NSCLC patients.<sup>29</sup> On the other hand, some studies have failed to find a significant relationship between ERCC1 gene polymorphisms and treatment efficacy in NSCLC patients receiving cisplatinbased chemotherapy. The results of a study among Iranian patients with colorectal and gastric cancers showed no significant association between genetic variations in the ERCC1 rs11615 SNP and response to oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.<sup>30</sup> Consistent with these findings, no significant effect of ERCC1 polymorphisms on treatment response was observed in NSCLC patients receiving cisplatin-based chemotherapy. These contradictory results may be due to differences in population, case selection, and study design. In our study, the relatively small number of NSCLC patients with homozygous genotypes may affect the power of statistical analysis to determine an association between *ERCC1* polymorphisms and treatment response. Several limitations of the current study require consideration. First, the relatively small sample size, especially of lung cancer cases, restricted the statistical power for subgroup analysis; thus, the results should be confirmed with studies of larger populations. Second, this study only evaluated the risk of lung cancer, but investigating prognostic potential, especially by measuring survival parameters, could be valuable. Finally, further experiments are needed to elucidate the specific contribution of ERCC1 polymorphisms to the susceptibility to lung cancer susceptibility as well as to reveal other functional SNPs involved in DNA repair pathways.

# Conclusion

In summary, the findings revealed that the *ERCC1* rs11615 and rs3212986 polymorphisms are associated with a higher risk of lung cancer. These SNPs may serve as useful predictive markers to identify individuals at increased risk of lung cancer.

#### **Authors' Contribution**

**Conceptualization:** Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani, Abdollah Jafarzaheh, Behjat Kalantari Khandani.

**Data curation:** Ali Khalouei, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi, Mohammadreza Zangouey, Mohammad Mehdi Yaghoobi, Beydolah Shahouzehi.

Formal analysis: Mohammadreza Zangouey, Ali Khalouei.

Funding acquisition: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani.

Investigation: Ali Khalouei, Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani.

**Methodology:** Ali Khalouei, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi, Mohammad Mehdi Yaghoobi, Beydolah Shahouzehi.

Project administration: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani.

**Resources:** Farnaz Sedghy, Behjat Kalantari Khandani. **Software:** Ali Khalouei, Mohammadreza Zangouey.

# Highlights

- ERCC1 rs11615 and rs3212986 polymorphisms are associated with a higher risk of lung cancer.
- SNPs rs11615 and rs3212986 may serve as useful predictive markers to identify individuals at increased risk of lung cancer.
- The TT genotype of the rs11615 SNP was associated with a higher risk of NSCLC development.

Supervision: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani.

Validation: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani, Farnaz Sedghy, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi, Mohammad Mehdi Yaghoobi.

Visualization: Ali Khalouei, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi.

Writing-original draft: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani, Abdollah Jafarzaheh, Behjat Kalantari Khandani, Farnaz Sedghy, Arezu Khosravi Mashizi, Mohammad Mehdi Yaghoobi, Mohammadreza Zangouey, Beydolah Shahouzehi.

Writing-review & editing: Yaser Masoumi-Ardakani, Farnaz Sedghy.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### **Ethical Approval**

The current study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kerman University of Medical Sciences and Health Services (IR. KMU.REC.1399.072), and all procedures were in accordance with standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki (1964).

### Funding

This study was financially supported by the Kerman Student Research Committee (Grant number: 98000685).

#### References

- 1. Chaszczewska-Markowska M, Kosacka M, Chryplewicz A, Dyła T, Brzecka A, Bogunia-Kubik K. ECCR1 and NFKB2 polymorphisms as potential biomarkers of non-small cell lung cancer in a polish population. Anticancer Res. 2019;39(6):3269-72. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.13469.
- Lai XN, Li J, Tang LB, Chen WT, Zhang L, Xiong LX. MiRNAs and LncRNAs: dual roles in TGF-β signaling-regulated metastasis in lung cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(4):1193. doi: 10.3390/ijms21041193.
- Osarogiagbon RU, Veronesi G, Fang W, Ekman S, Suda K, Aerts JG, et al. Early-stage NSCLC: advances in thoracic oncology 2018. J Thorac Oncol. 2019;14(6):968-78. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.02.029.
- Grenda A, Błach J, Szczyrek M, Krawczyk P, Nicoś M, Kuźnar Kamińska B, et al. Promoter polymorphisms of TOP2A and ERCC1 genes as predictive factors for chemotherapy in nonsmall cell lung cancer patients. Cancer Med. 2020;9(2):605-14. doi: 10.1002/cam4.2743.
- Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Akerley W, Bazhenova LA, Borghaei H, Camidge DR, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer, version 1.2015. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2014;12(12):1738-61. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2014.0176.
- Burdett S, Pignon JP, Tierney J, Tribodet H, Stewart L, Le Pechoux C, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for resected earlystage non-small cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015(3):CD011430. doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd011430.
- Ciccia A, Elledge SJ. The DNA damage response: making it safe to play with knives. Mol Cell. 2010;40(2):179-204. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.09.019.
- Lord CJ, Ashworth A. The DNA damage response and cancer therapy. Nature. 2012;481(7381):287-94. doi: 10.1038/

nature10760.

- Reed E. Platinum-DNA adduct, nucleotide excision repair and platinum based anti-cancer chemotherapy. Cancer Treat Rev. 1998;24(5):331-44. doi: 10.1016/s0305-7372(98)90056-1.
- Zhao X, Zhang Z, Yuan Y, Yuan X. Polymorphisms in ERCC1 gene could predict clinical outcome of platinum-based chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer patients. Tumour Biol. 2014;35(8):8335-41. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-2033-7.
- Huang SJ, Wang YF, Jin ZY, Sun JY, Guo ZL. Role of ERCC1 variants in response to chemotherapy and clinical outcome of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Tumour Biol. 2014;35(5):4023-9. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-1526-0.
- Jian-Wei B, Yi-Min M, Yu-Xia S, Shi-Qing L. Expression levels of ERCC1 and RRM1 mRNA and clinical outcome of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Pak J Med Sci. 2013;29(5):1158-61. doi: 10.12669/pjms.295.3812.
- Lu ZM, Luo TH, Nie MM, Fang GE, Ma LY, Xue XC, et al. Influence of ERCC1 and ERCC4 polymorphisms on response to prognosis in gastric cancer treated with FOLFOX-based chemotherapy. Tumour Biol. 2014;35(4):2941-8. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-1378-7.
- Zhang L, Wang J, Xu L, Zhou J, Guan X, Jiang F, et al. Nucleotide excision repair gene ERCC1 polymorphisms contribute to cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Mutagenesis. 2012;27(1):67-76. doi: 10.1093/mutage/ger062.
- Mlak R, Krawczyk P, Ramlau R, Kalinka-Warzocha E, Wasylecka-Morawiec M, Wojas-Krawczyk K, et al. Predictive value of ERCC1 and RRM1 gene single-nucleotide polymorphisms for first-line platinum- and gemcitabine-based chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Oncol Rep. 2013;30(5):2385-98. doi: 10.3892/or.2013.2696.
- Ozdemir O, Ozdemir P, Veral A, Uluer H, Ozhan MH. ERCC1 expression does not predict survival and treatment response in advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer cases treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(8):4679-83. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2013.14.8.4679.
- Pongsavee M, Wisuwan K, Tiwawech D. Association between ERCC1 polymorphism and the risk and clinicopathological features of breast cancer in Thai women in the lower northeastern region. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2017;18(11):2999-3002. doi: 10.22034/apjcp.2017.18.11.2999.
- Kang H. Sample size determination and power analysis using the G\*Power software. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2021;18:17. doi: 10.3352/jeehp.2021.18.17.
- Takenaka T, Yano T, Kiyohara C, Miura N, Kouso H, Ohba T, et al. Effects of excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCC1) single nucleotide polymorphisms on the prognosis of non-small cell lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer. 2010;67(1):101-7. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2009.03.007.
- Yu T, Xue P, Cui S, Zhang L, Zhang G, Xiao M, et al. Rs3212986 polymorphism, a possible biomarker to predict smoking-related lung cancer, alters DNA repair capacity via

regulating ERCC1 expression. Cancer Med. 2018;7(12):6317-30. doi: 10.1002/cam4.1842.

- 21. Gao H, Ge RC, Liu HY, Wang Y, Yan S. Effect of ERCC1 polymorphism on the response to chemotherapy and clinical outcome of non-small cell lung cancer. Genet Mol Res. 2014;13(4):8997-9004. doi: 10.4238/2014.October.31.14.
- 22. Mazzoni F, Cecere FL, Meoni G, Giuliani C, Boni L, Camerini A, et al. Phase II trial of customized first line chemotherapy according to ERCC1 and RRM1 SNPs in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2013;82(2):288-93. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2013.08.018.
- Krawczyk P, Kucharczyk T, Kowalski DM, Powrózek T, Ramlau R, Kalinka-Warzocha E, et al. Polymorphisms in TS, MTHFR and ERCC1 genes as predictive markers in first-line platinum and pemetrexed therapy in NSCLC patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2014;140(12):2047-57. doi: 10.1007/s00432-014-1756-6.
- 24. Xu TP, Shen H, Liu LX, Shu YQ. Association of ERCC1-C118T and -C8092A polymorphisms with lung cancer risk and survival of advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy: a pooled analysis based on 39 reports. Gene. 2013;526(2):265-74. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.05.021.
- 25. Yang Z, Fang X, Pei X, Li H. Polymorphisms in the ERCC1 and XPF genes and risk of breast cancer in a Chinese population. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2013;17(9):700-6. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2013.0122.
- Zhang Q, Lv LY, Li BJ, Zhang J, Wei F. Investigation of ERCC1 and ERCC2 gene polymorphisms and response to chemotherapy and overall survival in osteosarcoma. Genet Mol Res. 2015;14(3):11235-41. doi: 10.4238/2015. September.22.17.
- 27. Lv H, Han T, Shi X, Yao Y, Yao Y, Qiu W, et al. Genetic polymorphism of GSTP1 and ERCC1 correlated with response to platinum-based chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Med Oncol. 2014;31(8):86. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0086-5.
- Xie F, Sun Q, Wu S, Xie X, Liu Z. Nucleotide excision repair gene ERCC1 19007T>C polymorphism contributes to lung cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2014;18(8):591-5. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2013.0329.
- 29. Sullivan I, Salazar J, Majem M, Pallarés C, Del Río E, Páez D, et al. Pharmacogenetics of the DNA repair pathways in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Cancer Lett. 2014;353(2):160-6. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2014.07.023.
- Abyarghamsari M, Hosseini Shirazi F, Tavakoli-Ardakani M, Rezvani H, Mirzaei HR, Salamzadeh J. Study of the relationship between ERCC1 polymorphisms and response to platinumbased chemotherapy in Iranian patients with colorectal and gastric cancers. Iran J Pharm Res. 2019;18(4):2163-71. doi: 10.22037/ijpr.2019.1100827.